

**DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE,  
SECURITIES AND BANKING**

*Thomas E. Hampton, Commissioner*



**FINAL REPORT**

**STATUS OF INSURANCE INDUSTRY  
PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES  
TO PROTECT THE PRIVACY OF  
CUSTOMER INFORMATION**

*in cooperation with*

**THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF  
INSURANCE COMMISSIONERS**



**May 2006**

## **Table of Contents**

Summary.....	2
Background.....	4
Methodology.....	9
Findings.....	11
Cleared Findings.....	27
Conclusion.....	35
Attachments.....	1
Attachment A: List of Insurance Groups and Companies Examined.....	A-1
Attachment B: Themes.....	B-1
Attachment C: Questionnaire.....	C-1
Attachment D: Acknowledgement.....	D-1

## Summary

This report presents the findings of a comprehensive survey (conducted through statutory examinations) of the privacy practices and procedures of over 100 of the largest insurance groups — representing approximately 800 insurance companies — operating in the United States.

The survey was performed by the Insurance Commissioner of the District of Columbia (“DC Insurance Commissioner”), in cooperation with the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (“NAIC”). The purpose of the survey was to ascertain to what extent insurance companies have put into place practices and procedures which protect customer information in accordance with the privacy provisions of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and in conformity with the model privacy act and regulations adopted by NAIC.

The general results of the study are as follows:

- Overall, there is significant compliance with the provisions of the NAIC model regulations implementing the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (“GLB Act”). The safeguarding provisions of the GLB Act, however, appear to have generated a higher level of non-compliance.
- There is a greater level of non-compliance with the provisions of NAIC’s 1982 model privacy act, which may warrant further vigilance by state insurance regulators.
- The examinations documented 384 findings, out of over 9000 possible findings.
- Of the 384 findings, 215 related to GLB Act provisions and 169 related to NAIC’s 1982 model privacy act. <sup>1</sup>
- With respect to compliance with the NAIC model regulations implementing the GLB Act:
  - There were no findings related to GLB Act procedures for providing opt-out notifications and 2 finding on procedures for collecting opt-out elections.
  - Although there were 23 findings related to the GLB Act-mandated delivery of privacy notices, 14 of those findings related to the

---

<sup>1</sup> The number of findings for the GLB Act and the NAIC 1982 model is likely to underestimate the relative level of compliance with the provisions of those laws, since fewer than 10% of the questions that were part of the Questionnaire developed to determine compliance with these laws related directly to the NAIC 1982 model. In addition, only approximately 15 states have implemented the NAIC 1982 model, and therefore fewer insurers are subject to the model’s provisions.

provision of the initial notice, and therefore do not represent recurring findings.

- The second most common findings overall in the survey (43 findings) were related to the GLB Act-related risk assessment process, with common findings within this category related to a failure to work toward a formalized risk assessment process.
  - The examinations documented a number of findings (39 findings) related to the GLB Act-related requirements for information storage, transmission, and integrity.
  - The most common findings overall (169 findings) were related to NAIC's 1982 model privacy act provisions, largely dealing with the customer's right to correct personal information.
  - Of the 112 entities (representing approximately 700 insurance companies)<sup>2</sup> for which data has so far been collected:
    - 26 had no examination findings
    - 44 had one or two examination findings
    - 28 had three or four examination findings
    - 11 had five to nine examination findings
    - 3 had ten or more examination findings
- NOTE: There were 93 possible findings.

- Of those companies with initial findings, 44 have committed, by sworn affidavit to correct the findings.

Overall, the survey indicates that there is significant compliance with the GLB Act requirements incorporated in NAIC's "Privacy and Consumer Financial and Health Information" model regulation. That model was adopted in 2000 and has been implemented in almost every jurisdiction. There is a greater degree of non-compliance (or non-documented compliance) with the GLB Act-related provisions incorporated in NAIC's "Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information". Although the survey did not directly address the reason why there was greater non-compliance with these provisions, it seems reasonable to speculate that some non-compliance may be due to the fact that this model regulation was not adopted by NAIC until 2002 and as of June 2003 it had not been implemented by even a majority of states.

The survey found the greatest degree of non-conformity with the provisions of NAIC's "Insurance Information and Privacy Protection" model act, adopted in

---

<sup>2</sup> As discussed more fully in the Methodology section of this report, the number of entities includes both: (1) undivided insurance groups; and (2) sub-groups of certain insurance groups. Sub-groups of insurance groups were established where the insurance group maintained different privacy programs for different insurance companies in the group.

1982. This model incorporates standards greater than those required by the GLB Act and has been adopted by approximately 15 states.

### **Background**

In November 1999, the United States Congress approved the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (Pub. Law 106-102, 113 Stat. 1443) (“Act”), in an effort to modernize the government’s regulation of financial services institutions, including insurance companies. Title V of the Act imposes on insurers certain requirements to protect the non-public personal information of their customers; specifically, the Act requires insurers to provide an initial and annual notice to each of its customers setting forth the insurer’s privacy policy and also requires insurers to provide customers with the opportunity to opt out of the disclosure of any non-public personal information.<sup>3</sup> The Act also imposes requirements on state insurance regulators; specifically, state insurance regulators are required to establish regulatory standards that ensure “the security and confidentiality of customer records and information”, “protect against any anticipated threats or hazards to the security or integrity of such records”, and “protect against unauthorized access to or use of such records or information which could result in substantial harm or inconvenience to any customer.”<sup>4</sup>

In response to the GLB Act, NAIC in September 2000 adopted the “Privacy of Consumer Financial and Health Information Regulation”, to provide a model set of regulations that the states could adopt to implement the notice and disclosure provisions of the GLB Act.<sup>5</sup> The model regulation contains provisions requiring an insurer to provide notice to individuals about its privacy policies and practices; setting forth the circumstances under which an insurer may disclose non-public personal information to affiliates and third parties; and establishing a method for customers to prevent insurers from disclosing non-public personal information. In addition, in 2002, NAIC adopted “Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation” to provide to the states model standards for developing and implementing administrative, technical, and

---

<sup>3</sup> See 15 USC §§ 6801-6827.

<sup>4</sup> 15 USC § 6801.

<sup>5</sup> See NAIC Model Laws, Regulations and Guidelines, IV-672-1. As of November 2002, NAIC records indicated that: 36 states plus the District of Columbia have enacted regulations and/or laws based on NAIC’s 2000 Model (of those 36 states, 22 states include the financial and health provisions of the model and 14 states plus the District of Columbia have financial but not health provisions of the model); 13 states have retained NAIC’s 1982 Insurance Information and Privacy Protection Model Act (several of these states have incorporated some GLB privacy protections into their current laws); and 1 state had privacy regulations pending, but had not taken final action. 2003 NAIC Proc. 4th Qtr. 1087, 1095. At a June 2003 NAIC Privacy Issues Working Group meeting, NAIC staff reported that all states have taken action to implement the privacy protections set forth in the GLB Act, either through NAIC’s 2000 Model or NAIC’s 1982 Model, and that one state is working on finalizing its rules. 2003 NAIC Proc. 2nd Qtr. at 117.

physical safeguards to protect the security, confidentiality, and integrity of customer information, in accordance with the GLB Act.<sup>6</sup>

Neither of these model regulations was NAIC's first effort to address the issue of insurance customer privacy. In 1982, NAIC adopted the "Insurance Information and Privacy Protection Model Act". The purposes of that model act were, among others, to "establish standards for the collection, use and disclosure of information gathered in connection with insurance transactions", "to establish a regulatory mechanism to enable natural persons to ascertain what information is being or has been collected about them in connection with insurance transactions and to have access to such information for the purpose of verifying or disputing its accuracy", and to "limit the disclosure of information collected in connection with insurance transactions."<sup>7</sup>

In 2002, members of NAIC began discussing how to ensure that insurance companies were in compliance with the privacy provisions of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and the relevant provisions of NAIC's model laws and regulations. In response to these discussions, the DC Insurance Commissioner agreed to have his jurisdiction become the lead state for a NAIC-supported, multi-jurisdictional review of the privacy policies and procedures of insurance companies operating throughout the United States.<sup>8</sup> A multi-state uniform review was intended to forestall multiple, overlapping, and inconsistent examinations by numerous states of company compliance with essentially the same obligations to protect the privacy of customer information. To carry out this review, the DC Insurance Commissioner entered into an agreement with a lead consulting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, to assist the Commissioner in conducting assessments of the privacy policies and procedures of approximately 130 insurance groups. The insurance groups were selected by the Insurance

---

<sup>6</sup> See NAIC Model Laws, Regulations and Guidelines, IV-673-1. At a June 2003 NAIC Privacy Issues Working Group, NAIC staff reported that 23 states had taken action to promulgate the Safeguarding Model Regulation. 2003 NAIC Proc. 2nd Qtr. at 117.

<sup>7</sup> See NAIC Model Laws, Regulations and Guidelines, IV-670-1. In 2003, NAIC reported that 16 states had adopted this model regulation. See 2003 NAIC Proc. 2nd Qtr. at 35. Other NAIC information indicates that 13 states have retained the provisions of the 1982 model. An April 2002 report of the United States General Accounting Office indicated that 14 states had adopted NAIC's 1982 Model, although some states had modified the model in light of the GLB Act requirements. See General Accounting Office, *Status of State Actions on Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act's Privacy Provisions* at 3 (2002).

<sup>8</sup> Other jurisdictions which agreed to participate in the review (so-called "participating states") were provided with copies of the individual reports and agreed not to perform a privacy exam on the reviewed companies for a period of three years, except under limited circumstances. Seventeen states and Puerto Rico signed formal agreements to participate in the project. The 17 states were: Alabama, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Indiana, Kansas, Michigan, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Oregon, Utah, and Vermont. Many other states indicated that they would be interested in the results of the examination and would refrain from performing their own examination of the same privacy issues, but declined to execute formal agreements. Only three states indicated that they would not participate in any manner in the survey.

Commissioner and an NAIC working group.<sup>9</sup> The DC Insurance Commissioner thereafter worked with the lead consultant, in consultation with NAIC and interested state regulators, to develop a Privacy Assessment Questionnaire, which was used as the main vehicle to perform a gap analysis of the companies' privacy policies and procedures vs. the privacy provisions of the Act and the NAIC model laws and regulations.<sup>10</sup>

The Questionnaire asked questions targeted at determining an insurer's practices related to specific substantive areas covered by the NAIC model law and regulations. Specifically, the following areas were addressed by the Questionnaire:

<b>Substantive Area</b>	<b>Description of Substantive Area</b>	<b>Questions Addressing Substantive Area</b>
Privacy of Consumer Financial and Health Information Model Regulation (NAIC 2000 Model)		
Delivery of privacy notices	Are notices delivered to customers and consumers as required by applicable laws and regulations?	Questions 1-7, 38
Content of privacy notices	Do notices contain all required disclosures?	Questions 8 -23
Policies and procedures for preventing unauthorized disclosures of information	Do policies and procedures protect against threats to the security and integrity of information and against unauthorized access to or use of nonpublic personal information?	Questions 31-32, 36-37, 39-40
Policies and procedures for obtaining authorization for disclosure of health information	Do policies and procedures provide customers and consumers the ability to restrict the sharing of information or direct the use of information?	Questions 33-35

<sup>9</sup> Because of consent issues raised by some of the companies related to the lead contractor assisting in the review, the DC Insurance Commissioner entered into an agreement with a second contractor, American Express Tax and Business Services, Inc., to perform certain of the reviews. Because of further consent issues raised by certain of the remaining insurance companies relating to the second contractor, the DC Insurance Commissioner entered into an agreement with a third (and final) contractor, Huff Thomas & Co. .

<sup>10</sup> Except for California licensees, state variations in these laws were not explicitly addressed in the Questionnaire.

<b>Substantive Area</b>	<b>Description of Substantive Area</b>	<b>Questions Addressing Substantive Area</b>
Policies and procedures for privacy complaints	Has the licensee developed a method for tracking, logging, and analyzing privacy complaints?	Question 41
Procedures for providing opt-out notifications	Do procedures provide customers and consumers opt-out notifications as permitted by applicable laws and regulations?	Questions 42-43, 45-48, 54-55
Procedures for collecting opt-out elections	Are opt-out notices and rights provided in accordance with applicable requirements?	Questions 44, 49-53
<b>Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation (NAIC 2002 Model)</b>		
Licensee's methodology in designing their information security policy	Does the policy address applicable laws and regulations?	Questions 56-58, 90
Content of information security policy	Do policy provisions explicitly address security and confidentiality of customer information, threats or hazards to the security and integrity of information, and unauthorized access to or use of information?	Questions 59-61
Information security awareness and training	Does the policy provide for awareness and training detailing acceptable activity and the consistent classification of sensitive customer information?	Questions 62, 87

<b>Substantive Area</b>	<b>Description of Substantive Area</b>	<b>Questions Addressing Substantive Area</b>
Risk assessment process	Does the policy provide for an initial and periodic risk assessment, which identifies internal/external threats/hazards to the safeguarding, confidentiality, and integrity of information?	Questions 63-69, 88
Access controls	Do policies and procedures provide for physical and logical controls, i.e., secured areas, password controls, access based on user job function, periodic re-evaluation, and the expeditious removal of terminated users?	Questions 70-71, 73, 79, 81, 82
Information storage	Do policies and procedures address internal and external backup, storage, and retrieval of information?	Questions 72, 74-76, 85
Information transmission	Do policies and procedures govern scenarios for the sending and receipt of information, i.e., authentication of sender/recipient and use of encryption?	Questions 77, 78
Information integrity	Do policies and procedures address the monitoring of and actions to be taken if an attack to systems or storage devices is identified?	Questions 77, 78, 80, 83, 84
Miscellaneous	Does the licensee have appropriate procedures related to business continuity, oversight of the information security program, and vendor selection and monitoring?	Questions 86, 89, 91-93

<b>Substantive Area</b>	<b>Description of Substantive Area</b>	<b>Questions Addressing Substantive Area</b>
Insurance Information and Privacy Protection Model Act (NAIC 1982 Model)		
Customer access to and ability to correct information	Do policies and procedures grant customers the appropriate rights related to access and correction of their information?	Questions 24-30

It should be noted that it was not the intent of the examination to determine whether individual companies were in violation of specific state or federal statutes. Rather the purpose was to identify and assess the practices and procedures implemented by companies to provide protection for the privacy of personal information, as generally required by law.

**Methodology**

**Selection of Insurance Companies**

A comprehensive list of major insurance groups was prepared. The list was comprised of property and casualty insurance groups with 2002 gross written premiums of approximately \$250 million or more; life insurance groups with 2002 gross written premiums of approximately \$200 million or more; and health insurance groups with 2002 gross written premiums of approximately \$500 million or more. This initial list contained 129 insurance groups. After the initial list was compiled, 25 groups were exempted from examination for one of three reasons: (1) there was a prior, ongoing, or upcoming examination of the group that included (or would include) a comprehensive review of the group’s privacy policy [22 groups]; (2) the group engaged primarily or solely in reinsurance [2 groups]; or (3) the state insurance regulator for the company’s state of domicile requested that the group be exempted [1 group].

After the revised list was compiled, the companies were asked to complete a Privacy Program Questionnaire to determine whether all of the insurance companies within each insurance group used the same privacy program, or if there were multiple programs with the same group. If it was determined that more than one privacy program was used by the insurance companies within an insurance group, the insurance group was divided into sub-groups for the purposes of examination. (Each sub-group was comprised of the insurance companies within the insurance group that used the same privacy program.) Five insurance groups were divided into fourteen sub-groups through this process. In total, therefore, 112 insurance entities — comprised of 98 undivided insurance groups and 14 insurance sub-groups (created by the sub-division of five insurance groups) — became subject to examination under the current survey.

### Study Protocol

Each examination of an insurer's privacy practices and procedures was performed as a limited scope market conduct examination pursuant to generally applicable procedures promulgated by NAIC. Each examination was "called" by the DC Insurance Commissioner, except where the insurance company was not licensed in the District of Columbia; in those cases, the examinations were called by a participating state where the company was licensed.<sup>11</sup>

A Privacy Status Review Questionnaire ("Questionnaire") was developed to begin assessing each insurer's privacy practices and procedures in comparison with the privacy practices and procedures embodied in the NAIC's three policy models: NAIC's "Privacy of Consumer Financial and Health Information Model Regulation" of 2000; NAIC's "Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation" of 2002; and NAIC's "Insurance Information and Privacy Protection Model Act" of 1982. (The first two models were designed to implement the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act.) The Questionnaire also addressed the underlying factors that may increase the risk of non-compliance with these privacy laws.

The Questionnaire asked 93 specific questions. The questions required each insurer to make representations as to whether it was performing procedures established by the privacy laws, provide descriptions of any existing processes or procedures related to privacy compliance, and attach relevant documentation to support the existence of such processes or procedures.

The scope of the work did **not** include: (1) a review of the insurer's efforts with respect to remediation activities; (2) a detailed analysis of the effectiveness of the insurer's plans to correct privacy problems or to protect the business against the consequences associated with any privacy related occurrences, or (3) a determination of steps the insurer must take to become privacy compliant or maintain privacy compliance.

An objective, independent preliminary analysis summary of each insurer's answers to the Questionnaire was performed by the DC Insurance Commissioner and the Commissioner's consultant and provided to each group. A group's review of its analysis summary was followed by conferences with representatives of the insurer for further subject matter clarity.

Based on the responses to the Questionnaire, the information provided in the analysis work papers and the final analysis summary, a draft examination report was produced. The report contained an overview of the examination process and included a list of specific findings, where applicable. A finding consisted of an occurrence of a perceived gap between the companies privacy practices and

---

<sup>11</sup> The participating states that called exams on companies not licensed in the District of Columbia were Alabama, Arkansas, Indiana, New Jersey, and Oregon.

procedures and the guidelines outlined in one of the model acts or regulations of the NAIC. (Compliance with the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act was not considered separately, since its provisions were incorporated through the NAIC’s models.) The report recommended that the insurer consider addressing each finding.

The draft examination report was provided to the insurer and the insurer was allowed a 30-day period in which to make a written submission to the DC Insurance Commissioner containing comments or a rebuttal with respect to matters in the draft report. In addition, the insurer was provided the opportunity to provide an affidavit to the Department setting forth its sworn commitment to correct findings; if an affidavit in appropriate form and content was received by the Department, the final report was modified to remove the finding with respect to the gap that had been corrected.<sup>12</sup>

Supporting work for the group-specific public reports is protected under confidentiality. Areas of this report that regulators and lawmakers need elaborated should be directed to the DC Insurance Commissioner.

### **Findings**

Based on the results of the Questionnaire, a total of 93 findings were possible for each company. The numbers of findings for each company are presented in the table below.

<b>Number of Findings</b>	<b>Number of Companies</b>
0	26
1	21
2	22
3	19
4	10
5	2
6	2
7	0
8	4
9	3
10+	3

In total, there were 384 findings. The breakdown of the findings as they relate to the NAIC models was as follows:

<b>NAIC Model(s)</b>	<b>Number of Findings</b>
2000/2002 Gramm-Leach-Bliley Models	215
1982 Privacy Model	169

<sup>12</sup> Unless otherwise noted, the information in this report refers to the pre-affidavit findings of the examinations.

NOTE: Forty-seven of the 2000/2002 findings were associated with only two companies.

The two substantive areas with the most findings were “customer access to and ability to correct information” (NAIC 1982 Model) and “risk assessment process” (NAIC 2002 Model). There were 169 findings related to customer access to and ability to correct information, with 60 companies having a finding that an element (or elements) of an individual’s right to correct their personal information do not appear to be addressed in the procedures provided.<sup>13</sup> There were 43 findings related to the risk assessment process, with 11 findings from companies failing to formalize information security training. In addition, there were 39 findings related to the GLB Act-related requirements for information storage, transmission, and integrity.<sup>14</sup>

One substantive area had no findings. That area was “procedures for providing opt-out notifications”. The area of “procedures for collecting opt-out elections” was found in only 1 group.

The following chart provides a complete overview of all of the findings from the examinations.

---

<sup>13</sup> Approximately 28% of companies reviewed that write business in NAIC 1982 Model states have notices that are missing elements outlined in sections 8 and 9 of the NAIC 1982 Model. The rights outlined in sections 8 and 9 relate to the customers’ rights to access, correct, amend, and delete their personal information. Many companies did not feel that all the detailed elements related to these rights should be disclosed and that, if disclosed, the notices would be lengthy and somewhat confusing to customers.

<sup>14</sup> There were also 23 findings related to the insurer’s delivery of privacy notices; however, 14 of the findings related to the company’s failure with regard to the initial privacy notice which was to reach customers by July 1, 2001, and therefore do not represent a continuing finding.

## FINDINGS<sup>15</sup>

<u>FINDING</u>	<u>NUMBER OF COMPANIES</u>
<b>Privacy Notice And Customer Verification</b>	
<b>Delivery of Privacy Notices</b>	
A – The company did not adequately define non-public information in its privacy notice. [Question 1]	1
A/B – The companies either did not disclose the end of the initial privacy notices mailing period or the mailing period provided caused a risk that the initial privacy notices did not reach customers by July 1, 2001 (e.g., mailed notices through June 29, 2001). [Question 2]	14
A – The companies did not provide documentation to support that it was certain who the clients are or the status of the client (customer and customer status). [Question 3]	2

<sup>15</sup> The letter preceding each finding represents the following:

A: The Company does not appear to provide a clear and/or full answer to the question and the narrative explaining the process or procedure and/or the documentation actually supplied in response to the question, absent other information, appears to show that: (1) The Company's process and procedures in this area are not reasonably designed to achieve compliance with the NAIC model; or (2) The Company's processes or procedures are potentially not in compliance with the NAIC model. Additional examination may show that the Company is in compliance with the NAIC models.

B: The Company appeared to answer the question fully and provided relevant documentation, but the narrative explaining the process or procedure and/or the documentation appears to show that: (1) The Company's process and procedures are not reasonably designed to achieve compliance with the NAIC model; or (2) The Company's processes or procedures are potentially not in compliance with the NAIC model. Additional examination may show that the company is in compliance with the NAIC model.

C: The narrative explaining the process or procedure and/or the documentation supplied in response to question when considered along with other questionnaire responses appear to indicate that the processes and procedures in this area may contribute a pervasive risk of potential noncompliance with the NAIC model.

<b><u>FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF COMPANIES</u></b>
<p>A – Although Section. 11 (E) (1) and (2) regarding retention or accessibility of notices for customers does not impose a duty on an insurer to maintain historical records, the company maintains records of customers who receive privacy notices for a 24-month period of time. A 24-month look-back may not be sufficient to support the Companies assertion that all and every consumer received appropriate notification.</p> <p>[Question 4]</p>	2
<p>A – No description or evidence of procedures that would ensure annual policies sent separately are mailed to all customers.</p> <p>[Question 5]</p>	1
<p>A – The company’s response does not address the aspects of the question relating to “consumers”. For instance, the company does not address whether consumers receive or should receive the notice, what criteria is used to identify consumers, or if this aspect of the question is not applicable, why it is. Additionally, while the company’s response indicates there have been no substantive changes to their privacy policy, it does not address what they have defined to be a substantive change versus a non-substantive change to their privacy policy.</p> <p>[Question 6]</p>	1
<p>A – The company did not state whether or not it provides an electronic or hard copy of the privacy notice.</p> <p>[Question 7]</p>	1
<p>B – The company has explained that some agents do provide insurance products on their websites and “to the best of their knowledge” provide notices by mail accompanying new policies. It must be determined what, if any other methods of distribution exist when products are offered online. The company has noted that they require their agents to abide by all applicable laws.</p> <p>[Question 38]</p>	1
<p>INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK</p>	

<b><u>FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF COMPANIES</u></b>
<b>Content of Privacy Notices</b>	
<p>B – The company did not provide sufficient information to reverse the examiners finding regarding the company’s privacy notice being clear and conspicuous.</p> <p>[Question 8]</p>	2
<p>B – The company did not provide sufficient information to reverse the examiners finding regarding the company’s information handling practices.</p> <p>[Question 9]</p>	1
<p>B – The companies did not address how they handle former customer personal information within the privacy notice.</p> <p>[Question 14]</p>	3
<p>A – The companies did not provide evidence of the methods used to ensure that the representations of company policy made in their privacy statements are being complied with on an ongoing basis.</p> <p>[Question 22]</p>	4
<b>Policies and procedures for preventing unauthorized disclosures of information</b>	
<p>A – The companies have not provided explanations and/or evidence of policies and procedures that ensure non-public personal financial information that is received from a non-affiliated financial institution is only used in compliance with the NAIC model regulation.</p> <p>[Question 31]</p>	3
<p>A – The companies have not provided explanations or documentation of their controls in place to limit sharing of account numbers or access codes with third parties.</p> <p>[Question 32]</p>	3
<p>A – The companies did not provide enough evidence to indicate that health information may not be shared outside the legal exceptions without an authorization.</p> <p>[Question 36]</p>	4

<b><u>FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF COMPANIES</u></b>
<p>A – The companies have not provided explanations of the relevant policies and procedures that help ensure non-public personal financial information is not disclosed outside of the allowable exceptions without offering an opt-out.</p> <p>[Question 37]</p>	2
<p>A – The companies failed to provided enough evidence of policies that help ensure that non-public personal financial information obtained from non-affiliated financial parties is not used other than for the purpose for which it was received.</p> <p>[Question 40]</p>	4
<p>Policies and procedures for obtaining authorization for disclosure of health information</p>	
<p>B – The companies’ authorization form stated that the authorization shall be valid for 36 months or how determinations are made whether an authorization was needed for sharing non-public health information.</p> <p>[Question 33]</p>	2
<p>B – Section 18.B. of the NAIC 2000 Model states that the authorization should remain valid for no more than 24 months. The companies’ authorization forms stated that the authorization shall be valid for 36 months.</p> <p>[Question 35]</p>	10
<p>Policies and procedures for privacy complaints</p>	
<p>B – The company has explained that the privacy officer will handle any privacy-related complaints, but a formalized method in which complaints are logged, tracked, and analyzed does not exist.</p> <p>[Question 41]</p>	1
<p>Procedures for providing opt-out notifications</p>	
<p>NONE</p>	

<b><u>FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF COMPANIES</u></b>
<b>Procedures for collecting opt-out elections</b>	
<p>B – The company failed to provide adequate explanations or include relevant policies supporting its controls to ensure that customers who have opted out do not have their information shared other than allowed under the exceptions pursuant to NAIC Model 672.</p> <p>[Question 52]</p>	1
<p>B – The company did not provide a description of the controls in place to prevent discrimination against customers that have opted out from being denied benefits based on their opt out preference.</p> <p>[Question 53]</p>	1
<b>Safeguarding of Customer Records</b>	
<b>Licensee’s methodology in designing their information security policy</b>	
<p>B – The company does not currently have an information security policy in place. The company stated, “We are currently developing the company’s information security policy based on company security practices and policies.” The company has also provided its privacy policy and Internet, email, and VPN policies as examples of its security practices.</p> <p>[Question 56]</p>	1
<p>B – The companies provided Information Systems Security Manuals; however, the manuals did not reference the objectives outlined in the GLB Act.</p> <p>[Question 58]</p>	4
<p>A – Groups have been assigned the task of keeping the company abreast of changing technology, laws and regulations, etc. that may necessitate a change in the company’s approach to its information security program. The company did not indicate how often the processing for adjustments to the information security program is performed (e.g., annually).</p> <p>[Question 90]</p>	4

<b><u>FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF COMPANIES</u></b>
<b>Content of information security policy</b>	
<p>B – The companies’ responses refer to restrictions for accessing customer data. There was no reference to information security standards, policies, or procedures or there was a failure to provide evidence to adequately reflect a positive response to all aspects of the question. In addition, one company also provided its Information Systems Security Manual; however, this manual does not sufficiently address the necessary security policies and procedures outlined in the GLB Act.</p> <p>[Question 59]</p>	4
<p>B – Assigned responsibilities of creating, maintaining, and implementing the security program are not described in sufficient detail. The company is currently in the search process for a Chief Information Security Officer. The company plans on reviewing all existing policies and procedures in light of the new administration and imaging systems being installed.</p> <p>[Question 61]</p>	1
<b>Information security awareness and training</b>	
<p>B – The companies are developing formalized information security training for their employees but currently evidence of training is inadequate.</p> <p>[Question 62]</p>	3
<p>B – The companies had not implemented formal information security training programs.</p> <p>[Question 87]</p>	8
<b>Risk assessment process</b>	
<p>A – The company’s response provides no explanation of how the information security program was designed to be in compliance with regulatory guidance.</p> <p>[Question 63]</p>	1
<p>B – The companies are working toward formalizing risk assessment processes.</p> <p>[Question 64]</p>	7

<b><u>FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF COMPANIES</u></b>
<p>B – The companies are working toward formalizing risk assessment processes.</p> <p>[Question 65]</p>	5
<p>B – The companies are working toward formalizing risk assessment processes.</p> <p>[Question 66]</p>	9
<p>A – The companies did not provide evidence that they are performing regular risk assessments for the determination of risk levels for sensitive information.</p> <p>[Question 67]</p>	5
<p>A – The companies are working toward formalizing risk assessment processes.</p> <p>[Question 68]</p>	5
<p>A – The companies did not indicate whether it monitors, evaluates, and adjusts risk assessments based upon changes in technology or sensitivity of customer information.</p> <p>[Question 69]</p>	7
<p>B – The companies did not have policies surrounding an independent security certification or internal audit or provide adequate responses.</p> <p>[Question 88]</p>	4
<p>Access controls</p>	
<p>B – The companies have stated that employees’ level of access to customer information is not currently evaluated annually in order to ensure that each employee’s level of access to customer information is necessary. Projects are currently underway to review the access level of associates.</p> <p>[Question 70]</p>	4
<p>B – The company’s response to the examiners indicated that there were no procedures in place for periodic reviews of user access for active employees.</p> <p>[Question 71]</p>	3

<b><u>FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF COMPANIES</u></b>
<p>B – Based on the information provided or its insufficiency, the examiners determined that a positive response could not be given.</p> <p>[Question 73]</p>	3
<p>A – Companies admitted that “live production” customer information is used in test environments. Policies that pertain to areas which use “live production” customer information in test environments were not provided. In addition, a business case use for the need to use “live production” customer information for testing was not provided.</p> <p>[Question 79]</p>	4
<p>B – Based on the information provided or its insufficiency, the examiners determined that a positive response could not be given.</p> <p>[Question 81]</p>	3
<p>B – Companies stated that employees who administer customer accounts have the ability to input and approve data; however, the system process will check and identify invalid data. Companies also failed to provide sufficient evidence to support their compliance positions.</p> <p>[Question 82]</p>	5
<b>Information storage</b>	
<p>B – Based on the information provided or its insufficiency, the examiners determined that a positive response could not be given.</p> <p>[Question 72]</p>	1
<p>A – Documentation was not provided which evidences that companies has implemented policies that require:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Authentication of users in order to access databases that contain customer information.</li> <li>○ Access to customer information to be granted only to individuals that require that access to perform their job.</li> <li>○ Customer information within databases to be encrypted and integrity checks to be performed with respect to the customer information.</li> </ul> <p>[Question 74]</p>	3

<b><u>FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF COMPANIES</u></b>
<p>A – No documentation was provided as evidence of policies and procedures that ensure physical security controls such as access cards, security guards, surveillance cameras, and access logs are incorporated into the company’s security policies and procedures. In addition, no evidence of policies and procedures was provided that ensure locking of file drawers and security cages which contain paper forms with customer information on them.</p> <p>[Question 75]</p>	2
<p>A – The company appears to have addressed all of the issues correctly; however, the company did not provide documentation that evidences only approved vendors can be used to store customer information. Procedures for retrieving stored information from remote storage facilities in a secure manner also were not provided.</p> <p>[Question 76]</p>	2
<p>B – The company’s policy simply states that “adequate” controls should be in place to protect data centers against environmental hazards; however, there is no detailed explanation of the specific mechanisms or strategies that have been deployed for doing so.</p> <p>[Question 85]</p>	2

<b><u>FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF COMPANIES</u></b>
<b>Information transmission and integrity</b>	
<p>A – No documentation was provided as evidence of the following policies and procedures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Policies requiring the listing of all file transmissions that are scheduled to occur on a regular basis, indicating the third party to whom the transmission is going, the purpose of the transmission, and the customer information contained within the transmission.</li> <li>○ Policies designed to ensure data downloads or transmissions are appropriate, the business need is understood, the sensitivity of the information is communicated, and safeguards are in place.</li> <li>○ Policies, procedures, or controls to protect the security and integrity of customer information that is being transmitted to third parties.</li> <li>○ Controls to limit the employees who are authorized to perform or modify transmissions of customer information.</li> <li>○ Controls that are in place to protect external transmissions of customer information from unauthorized access attempts (e.g. encryption, frame relay, other).</li> </ul> <p>[Question 77]</p>	7
<p>A – The company has indicated that they use the secure socket layer (SSL) 128-bit encryption technique to protect customer information during transmission; however, the company did not provide policies that indicate how or when encryption should be utilized to protect customer information during transmission.</p> <p>[Question 78]</p>	4

<b><u>FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF COMPANIES</u></b>
<p>A/B – The company’s policies surrounding changes to systems containing customer information are not described using appropriate detail required to answer this question or do not exist:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ conducting a review of information security changes to systems containing customer information</li> <li>○ evaluating the impact of information security changes to systems containing customer information</li> <li>○ adjusting information security based on evaluation of the information security changes to systems containing customer information.</li> </ul> <p>[Question 80]</p>	7
<p>B – Based on the information provided or its insufficiency, the examiners determined that a positive response could not be given.</p> <p>[Question 83]</p>	4
<p>B – Currently no formal policies or procedures are in place to handle the occurrence of a network intrusion or the escalation of unusual activity.</p> <p>[Question 84]</p>	7
<b>Miscellaneous</b>	
<p>A – The companies either stated that a business continuity plan exists and that it addresses all of the necessary issues but failed to provide proof of existence or denied having a business continuity plan.</p> <p>[Question 86]</p>	2
<p>A – Contact information of the liaison between the board or management and the Corporate Information Security Group was not provided.</p> <p>[Question 89]</p>	1
<p>A – The companies did not provide documentation to support that they have included privacy language in joint marketing or service provider agreements.</p> <p>[Question 91]</p>	5

<b><u>FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF COMPANIES</u></b>
<p>A – The companies did not provide sample language from a service provider contract used with a third party service provider.</p> <p>[Question 92]</p>	5
<p>B – The companies does not currently have a process to evaluate whether service providers have taken the appropriate steps to safeguard non-public personal information.</p> <p>[Question 93]</p>	9
<p><b>Customer access to and ability to correct information (1982 Model Law)</b></p>	
<p>Customer access to and ability to correct information</p>	
<p>B – The company stated that, when performing this review, it was determined two of the companies did not implement procedures to provide the Notice of Insurance Information Practices in Ohio, Wisconsin, and Minnesota. A shorter notice was used in these states, which did not include all the elements listed in the regulation. The company stated that they have taken the necessary steps to update their notices for these states.</p> <p>[Question 24]</p>	1
<p>B – Although the companies provide notice at the time of policy delivery when personal information is collected only from either the applicant or public records, an index evidencing the existence of this procedure was not provided to the examiners.</p> <p>[Question 25]</p>	2
<p>B – Although the companies have required their agents to distribute notices, copies of relevant policies that ensure notices are provided at the time of collection of personal information when personal information is collected from a source other than from the applicant or public records are not maintained. Agents are required by their contract to provide notices at the time of collection of personal information, and the company has noted that they will update their written instructions to agents reminding them of their contractual obligations.</p> <p>[Question 26]</p>	5

<b><u>FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF COMPANIES</u></b>
<p>B – The company does not provide a notice prior to policy renewal when personal information is collected from a source other than from applicant or public records and a privacy notice has not been provided in the previous twenty-four months.</p> <p>[Question 27]</p>	<p>1</p>
<p>B – The companies' Notice of Insurance Information Practices is missing the following elements of Sections 8 and 9:</p> <p>Section 8</p> <p>(1) The ability for individuals to see a copy of their personal information in person.</p> <p>(2) The requirement of provided personal information to include the source types of the information collected.</p> <p>Section 9</p> <p>(1) The right of the individual to file a statement of why they disagree with the company's decision on their request for revision to their information and the need to keep such statement in the customer's file.</p> <p>(2) The need to send any revisions made to those parties that have been provided such information within the past 2 years and support organizations that have received such information in the past 7 years.</p> <p>(3) Within 30 days the recipient of a request must correct, amend, or delete the personal information or notify the individual of a refusal, the reasons for the refusal, and the individual's right to file a statement.</p> <p>(4) Upon a correction, amendment, or deletion the insurance institution, agent, or support organization must notify the individual in writing and furnish the correction to any entity described in Section 9.B.(1)–(3) of the NAIC 1982 Model.</p> <p>[Question 28]</p>	<p>47</p>

<b><u>FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF COMPANIES</u></b>
<p>B – The company’s policies and procedures did not address that the following activities needed to take place within 30 business days of receipt of a customer request:</p> <p>(1) Inform the individual of the nature and substance of the recorded information.</p> <p>(2) Permit the individual to see a copy of the recorded information in person or by mail.</p> <p>(3) Disclose the identity of the persons, agents, or institutions that accessed such personal information within the past two years.</p> <p>(4) Provide the individual a summary of the procedures by which he or she may request correction, amendment, or deletion of recorded personal information.</p> <p>In several cases the company only provided a copy of its privacy notice, providing no evidence that they have procedures in place to respond appropriately to customer requests to access information.</p> <p>[Question 29]</p>	<p>53</p>
<p>B – The following elements of an individual’s right to correct his or her personal information do not appear to be addressed in the procedures provided:</p> <p>(1) Requirement for the request to be responded to within 30 days.</p> <p>(2) The need to provide the corrected information to any person specifically designated by the individual who may have received the information in the prior 2 years.</p> <p>(3) The 7- year timeframe in which parties who have received such information should be provided with the corrected information.</p> <p>(4) The need to file the individual’s statement of disagreement with his or her personal information and provide it to those reviewing the information in the future.</p> <p>In several cases the company only provided a copy of its privacy notice, providing no evidence that they have procedures in place to respond appropriately to customer requests to correct, amend or delete information.</p> <p>[Question 30]</p>	<p>60</p>

As noted above, after the initial findings were made, each insurer was afforded the opportunity to provide an affidavit to the Department setting forth its sworn commitment to correct the findings. If the insurer provided an affidavit and the Department found that the commitments in the affidavit would correct the gap, the final examination report was modified to remove the finding.

Overall, 184 of the original 384 findings were cleared through the affidavit process. Of these cleared findings, the majority — 104 of 184 — were related to gaps in the companies’ practices and procedures vs. the guidelines outlined in the NAIC 1982 model. The findings which were cleared through affidavits are set forth in the chart below.

### **CLEARED FINDINGS**

<b><u>CLEARED FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF CLEARED FINDINGS</u></b>
<b>Privacy Notice And Customer Verification</b>	
Delivery of Privacy Notices	
CLEARED: The company either did not disclose the end of the initial privacy notices mailing period or the mailing period provided caused a risk that the initial privacy notices did not reach customers by July 1, 2001 (e.g., mailed notices through June 29, 2001). [Question #2]	4
CLEARED: The company’s procedure only determines whether an individual client has had a relationship with another corporate group entity. The procedure does not determine who the clients are or the status of the client (customer and consumer status). [Question #3]	1
CLEARED: Although Section. 11 (E)(1) and (2) regarding retention or accessibility of notices for customers does not impose a duty on an insurer to maintain historical records, the company maintains records of customers who receive privacy notices for a 24-month period of time. A 24-month look-back may not be sufficient to support the Company’s assertion that all and every consumer received appropriate notification. [Question #4]	2

<b><u>CLEARED FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF CLEARED FINDINGS</u></b>
<p>CLEARED: The company’s response does not address the aspects of the question relating to “consumers”. For instance, the company does not address whether consumers receive or should receive the notice, what criteria is used to identify consumers, or if this aspect of the question is not applicable, why it is. Additionally, while the company’s response indicates there have been no substantive changes to their privacy policy, it does not address what they have defined to be a substantive change versus a non-substantive change to their privacy policy.</p> <p>[Question #6]</p>	1
<p>CLEARED: The company has explained that some agents do provide insurance products on their websites and “to the best of their knowledge” provide notices by mail accompanying new policies. It must be determined what, if any other methods of distribution exist when products are offered online. The company has noted that they require their agents to abide by all applicable laws.</p> <p>[Question 38]</p>	1
<b>Content of Privacy Notices</b>	
<p>CLEARED: The company does not address how it handles former customer personal information within the privacy notice.</p> <p>[Question 14]</p>	1
<p>CLEARED: Management’s response has not addressed whether or not the company performs any security audit or compliance procedures to ensure that the representations of company policy made in the privacy statement are being complied with on an ongoing basis.</p> <p>[Question 22]</p>	1
<b>Policies and procedures for preventing unauthorized disclosures of information</b>	
<p>CLEARED: The companies did not provide evidence of a policy or failed to explain its position on non-disclosure of private personal health information without a customer authorization.</p> <p>[Question 36]</p>	2

<b><u>CLEARED FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF CLEARED FINDINGS</u></b>
<p>CLEARED: The group did not provide a description of its efforts to assure information obtained from third parties is not reused or re-disclosed.</p> <p>[Question 40]</p>	2
<p>Policies and procedures for obtaining authorization for disclosure of health information</p>	
<p>CLEARED: An explanation was not provided of how determinations are made whether an authorization was needed for sharing of non-public personal health information.</p> <p>[Question 33]</p>	2
<p>CLEARED: Section 18.B. of the NAIC 2000 Model states that the authorization should remain valid for no more than 24 months. The company's authorization form stated that the authorization shall be valid for 36 months.</p> <p>[Question 35]</p>	4
<p>Policies and procedures for privacy complaints</p>	
<p>CLEARED: The company has explained that the privacy officer will handle any privacy-related complaints, but a formalized method in which complaints are logged, tracked, and analyzed does not exist.</p> <p>[Question 41]</p>	1
<p>Procedures for collecting opt-out elections</p>	
<p>CLEARED: The company stated that it has implemented policies, procedures and other controls to ensure that customers who have opted out do not have their information shared other than allowed under the exceptions pursuant to NAIC Model 672. However, the company did not provide an explanation or include relevant policies supporting this practice.</p> <p>[Question 52]</p>	1

<b><u>CLEARED FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF CLEARED FINDINGS</u></b>
<p>CLEARED: The company stated that it does not deny customers benefits based on their opt out preference. However, the company did not provide a description of the controls in place to prevent discrimination against customers that have opted out.</p> <p>[Question 53]</p>	1
<b>Safeguarding of Customer Records</b>	
Licensee's methodology in designing their information security policy	
<p>CLEARED: The company provided its Information Systems Security Manual; however, it does not reference the objectives outlined in the GLB Act.</p> <p>[Question 58]</p>	2
<p>CLEARED: Groups have been assigned the task of keeping The company abreast of changing technology, laws and regulations, etc. that may necessitate a change in the company's approach to its information security program. The company did not indicate how often the processing for adjustments to the information security program are performed (e.g., annually).</p> <p>[Question 90]</p>	1
Content of information security policy	
<p>CLEARED: The companies did not provide evidence of formal documentation to adequately reflect a positive response to all aspects of the question.</p> <p>[Question 59]</p>	2
Information security awareness and training	
<p>CLEARED: Formalized customer/consumer privacy training for all employees of the group does not currently exist except for new hires.</p> <p>[Question 62]</p>	1
<p>CLEARED: The companies may offer limited training, a formal security training program for all employees that have access to customer information is not established.</p> <p>[Question 87]</p>	3

<b><u>CLEARED FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF CLEARED FINDINGS</u></b>
<b>Risk assessment process</b>	
<p>CLEARED: The company is working toward formalizing a risk assessment process.</p> <p>[Question 64]</p>	5
<p>CLEARED: The company is working toward formalizing a risk assessment process.</p> <p>[Question 65]</p>	3
<p>CLEARED: The companies' narratives and lack of supporting documentation could not support a positive response to this question; evidenced by a lack of assessing risk in terms of confidentiality and integrity of customer information.</p> <p>[Question 66]</p>	6
<p>CLEARED: The responses did not adequately address the existence of an ongoing assessment of vulnerability.</p> <p>[Question 68]</p>	3
<p>CLEARED: The company did not indicate whether it monitors, evaluates, and adjusts risk assessments based upon changes in technology or sensitivity of customer information.</p> <p>[Question 69]</p>	5
<p>CLEARED: The responses did not adequately address the question or the company failed to provide a response.</p> <p>[Question 88]</p>	3
<b>Access controls</b>	
<p>CLEARED: The company has stated that employees' level of access to customer information is not currently evaluated annually in order to ensure that each employee's level of access to customer information is necessary. A project is currently underway to review the access level of associates.</p> <p>[Question 70]</p>	2
<p>CLEARED: Based on responses provided, it appeared the companies were taking steps to ensure compliance in this access area.</p> <p>[Question 71]</p>	2

<b><u>CLEARED FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF CLEARED FINDINGS</u></b>
<p>CLEARED: Based on the information provided or its insufficiency, the examiners determined that a positive response could not be given.</p> <p>[Question 73]</p>	3
<p>CLEARED: The company admits that “live production” customer information is used in test environments. Policies that pertain to areas which use “live production” customer information in test environments were not provided. In addition, a business case use for the need to use “live production” customer information for testing was not provided.</p> <p>[Question 79]</p>	3
<p>CLEARED: Based on the information provided or its insufficiency, the examiners determined that a positive response could not be given.</p> <p>[Question 81]</p>	3
<p>CLEARED: Based on the information provided or its insufficiency, the examiners determined that a positive response could not be given.</p> <p>[Question 82]</p>	3
<b>Information storage</b>	
<p>CLEARED: Based on the information provided or its insufficiency, the examiners determined that a positive response could not be given.</p> <p>[Question 72]</p>	1
<p>CLEARED: Based on the information provided or its insufficiency, the examiners determined that a positive response could not be given.</p> <p>[Question 74]</p>	1
<p>CLEARED: Based on the information provided or its insufficiency, the examiners determined that a positive response could not be given.</p> <p>[Question 75]</p>	1

<b><u>CLEARED FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF CLEARED FINDINGS</u></b>
<p>CLEARED: Based on the information provided or its insufficiency, the examiners determined that a positive response could not be given.</p> <p>[Question 76]</p>	1
<p>CLEARED: Based on the information provided or its insufficiency, the examiners determined that a positive response could not be given.</p> <p>[Question 85]</p>	1
<b>Information transmission and integrity</b>	
<p>CLEARED: No documentation was provided as evidence of certain policies and procedures. (See Findings chart for full description.)</p> <p>[Question 77]</p>	5
<p>CLEARED: Based on the information provided or its insufficiency, the examiners determined that a positive response could not be given.</p> <p>[Question 78]</p>	2
<p>CLEARED: The company's policies surrounding changes to systems containing customer information are not described using appropriate detail required to answer this question or do not exist.</p> <p>[Question 80]</p>	3
<p>CLEARED: Based on the information provided or its insufficiency, the examiners determined that a positive response could not be given.</p> <p>[Question 83]</p>	3
<p>CLEARED: Currently no formal policies or procedures are in place to handle the occurrence of a network intrusion or the escalation of unusual activity.</p> <p>[Question 84]</p>	4
<p>INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK</p>	

<b><u>CLEARED FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF CLEARED FINDINGS</u></b>
<b>Miscellaneous</b>	
<p>CLEARED: Currently no formal policies or procedures are in place to handle a disaster or identify the company's continuity should an unforeseen event occur.</p> <p>[Question 86]</p>	1
<p>CLEARED: Based on the information provided or its insufficiency, the examiners determined that a positive response could not be given.</p> <p>[Question 91]</p>	2
<p>CLEARED: Based on the information provided or its insufficiency, the examiners determined that a positive response could not be given.</p> <p>[Question 92]</p>	2
<p>CLEARED: Based on the information provided or its insufficiency, the examiners determined that a positive response could not be given.</p> <p>[Question 93]</p>	3
<b>Customer access to and ability to correct information (1982 Model Law)</b>	
<b>Customer access to and ability to correct information</b>	
<p>CLEARED: Although the company does provide the notice at the time of policy delivery when personal information is collected only from the applicant or public records, an index evidencing the existence of this procedure was not provided.</p> <p>[Question 25]</p>	1
<p>CLEARED: Although the company has required their agents to distribute notices, the company does not maintain copies of relevant policies that ensure notices are provided at the time of collection of personal information when personal information is collected from a source other than from the applicant or public records. Agents are required by their contract to provide notices at the time of collection of personal information, and the company has noted that they will update their written instructions to agents reminding them of their contractual obligations.</p> <p>[Question 26]</p>	4

<b><u>CLEARED FINDING</u></b>	<b><u>NUMBER OF CLEARED FINDINGS</u></b>
<p>CLEARED: The company does not provide a notice prior to policy renewal when personal information is collected from a source other than from applicant or public records and a privacy notice has not been provided in the previous twenty-four months.</p> <p>[Question 27]</p>	1
<p>CLEARED: The company's Notice of Insurance Information Practices is missing the elements of Sections 8 and 9. (See Findings chart for full description.)</p> <p>[Question 28]</p>	21
<p>CLEARED: The company's policies and procedures did not address that the activities needed to take place within 30 business days of receipt of a customer request. (See Findings chart for full description.)</p> <p>[Question 29]</p>	25
<p>CLEARED: Elements of an individual's right to correct his or her personal information do not appear to be addressed in the procedures provided. (See Findings chart for full description.)</p> <p>[Question 30]</p>	28

### **Conclusion**

Overall, the survey found significant alignment of companies privacy practices and procedures with the GLB Act provisions incorporated into NAIC's model regulations. There appears, however, to be a lesser level of alignment with the information safeguarding provisions of NAIC's 2002 Model. In addition, there appears to be significant misalignment with the provisions of the 1982 Model Act. Some of this apparent misalignment, however, may be due to state variations in requirements, which the survey did not generally track. Because of the importance of maintaining the privacy of customer information — and because of the measurable, though not high, level of misalignment of insurers privacy practices and procedures with the model rules — continued vigilance by state insurance regulators is warranted.

## **ATTACHMENTS**

**ATTACHMENT A: LIST OF INSURANCE GROUPS AND  
COMPANIES EXAMINED**

**ATTACHMENT B: THEMES**

**ATTACHMENT C: QUESTIONNAIRE**

**ATTACHMENT D: ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

**ATTACHMENT A**  
**LIST OF INSURANCE GROUPS AND COMPANIES EXAMINED**

**This section presents in alphabetical order the insurance groups included in this report. The effective date of the organizational structures used in the survey are as of January 1, 2003**

21st Century Insurance	Berkshire Hathaway Insurance Group	Golden Rule Government
AAA Life Insurance Company	Bristol West Group Businessmen's	Employees Group
Aegon Insurance Group	Assurance Company of America	Great American Insurance Group
AFLAC Group	California Casualty Group	Great West Insurance Group
AIG Personal Lines	Ceres Group	Hartford Insurance Group, The
AIG SunAmerica Insurance Group	Cincinnati Insurance Companies	Harleysville Insurance Companies
Allianz Insurance Group	Citigroup American Health and Life	Health Care Service Corporation
Allianz Fireman's Fund Group	Insurance Company	Home State Insurance Group
Allmerica Financial Group	Citigroup National Benefit Life	Horace Mann Insurance Group
American Express Group	Citigroup Primerica	ING Group
American Family Insurance Group	Citigroup Travelers Life and Annuity	Inviva Securities Group
American Modern Insurance Group	Clarendon Insurance Group	Jefferson Pilot Financial
American National Insurance Company	CNA Insurance Companies	Companies
American United Life Insurance Company	Combined Insurance Group	John Hancock Financial Services
Americo Life Group	Combined Sterling Group	Kansas City Life Group
Ameriprise Financial Services, Inc	Conseco	Kingsway America Group
Ameritas Acacia Companies	Country Mutual Insurance Company	Knights of Columbus Lafayette Life
Amerus Group	CUNA Mutual	Insurance Company
Amica Mutual of America Group	Direct General Group EMC	Liberty Mutual Insurance Group
Anthem Insurance Group	Erie Insurance Group	Lincoln National Corporation
Beneficial Life Insurance Company	Fidelity Insurance Group	Manulife Financial
	GE Financial Group	Mass Mutual Life Insurance Company
	GMAC Insurance Group	

Merrill Lynch  
 Metropolitan  
 Mortgage Group  
 Minnesota Mutual  
 Companies  
 Modern Woodmen of  
 America  
 Motorists Insurance  
 Group  
 National Life Group  
 Nationwide Group  
 NJM Insurance Group  
 Northwestern Mutual  
 Ohio Casualty  
 Insurance Company  
 Ohio National  
 Financial Services  
 Old Mutual US Life  
 Pacific Life Group  
 Penn Mutual Group  
 Phoenix Life Group  
 Physicians Mutual  
 Group  
 Progressive Insurance  
 Group  
 Protective Life  
 Insurance Group

Prudential of America  
 Group  
 Royal & Sun Alliance  
 USA  
 Safeco  
 Sammons Financial  
 Group  
 Security Benefit  
 Group  
 Selective Insurance  
 Group  
 Sentry Insurance  
 Group  
 Shelter Insurance  
 Companies  
 Shenandoah Life  
 Group  
 Standard  
 Management  
 Corporation  
 State Auto Insurance  
 Companies  
 State Farm Group  
 State National  
 Insurance  
 Companies

Sun Life Financial  
 Group  
 Swiss Reinsurance  
 Group  
 Thrivent Financial for  
 Lutherans  
 Torchmark  
 Corporation  
 Travelers Group  
 Union Central Life  
 Insurance Group  
 United Life Insurance  
 Company  
 Unitrin, Inc.  
 Unum Provident  
 Insurance Group  
 Western & Southern  
 Group  
 Westfield Group  
 White Mountains  
 Insurance Group  
 Winterthur General  
 Casualty  
 Woodmen of the  
 World Life  
 Insurance Company  
 Zurich North America

**Insurance companies included in this report**

21<sup>st</sup> Century Casualty  
 Company  
 21<sup>st</sup> Century Insurance  
 Company  
 21<sup>st</sup> Century Insurance  
 Company of Arizona  
 AAA Life Insurance  
 Company  
 Academy Life  
 Insurance Company  
 Addison Insurance  
 Company  
 AGL Life Assurance  
 Company  
 AIG Annuity  
 Insurance Company

AIG Hawaii Insurance  
 Company Inc.  
 AIG Life Insurance  
 Company  
 AIG National  
 Insurance Company  
 Inc.  
 AIG SunAmerica Life  
 Assurance  
 AIU Insurance  
 Company  
 Alabama First  
 Insurance Company  
 All Savers Insurance  
 Company  
 Allegiance Life  
 Insurance Company

Allianz Insurance  
 Company  
 Allianz Life Insurance  
 Company of North  
 America  
 Allianz Life Insurance  
 Company of New  
 York  
 Allied Property &  
 Casualty Insurance  
 Company  
 Allmerica Financial  
 Alliance Insurance  
 Company  
 Allmerica Financial  
 Benefit Insurance  
 Company

Allmerica Financial  
Life Insurance and  
Annuity Company  
Alpha Property &  
Casualty Insurance  
Alta Health & Life  
Insurance Company  
AMCO Insurance  
Company  
Ameribest Life  
Insurance Company  
America First  
Insurance Company  
America First Lloyds  
Insurance Company  
American  
Ambassador  
Casualty Company  
American and Foreign  
Insurance Company  
American Automobile  
Insurance Company  
American Casualty  
Company of  
Reading,  
Pennsylvania  
American Central  
Insurance Company  
American Centurion  
Life Assurance  
Company  
American Country  
Insurance Company  
American Deposit  
Insurance Company  
American Economy  
Insurance Company  
American Empire  
Surplus Lines  
American Employers  
Insurance Company  
American Enterprise  
Life Insurance  
Company  
American Equity  
Insurance Company

American Equity  
Specialty Company  
American Family  
Assurance of  
Columbus  
American Family  
Home Insurance  
Company  
American Family Life  
Assurance of New  
York  
American Family Life  
Insurance Company  
American Family  
Mutual Insurance  
Company  
American Fire &  
Casualty Company  
American Guarantee  
& Liability  
Insurance  
American Hardware  
Mutual Insurance  
Company  
American Health and  
Life Insurance  
American Home  
Assurance Company  
American Income Life  
Insurance Company  
American Indemnity  
Company  
American Insurance  
Company  
American Intern  
Insurance Company  
American Intern  
Insurance Company  
of California  
American Intern  
Insurance Company  
of Delaware  
American Intern  
Insurance Company  
of New Jersey

American Intern Life  
Assurance Company  
of New York  
American Intern  
Pacific Insurance  
Company  
American Intern  
South Insurance  
Company  
American Intern  
Specialty Insurance  
American Investors  
Life Insurance  
Company  
American Investors  
Life Insurance  
Company  
American Life &  
Accident Insurance  
Company  
American Life  
Insurance Company  
of New York  
American Maturity  
Life Insurance  
Company  
American Mayflower  
Life Insurance New  
York  
American Merchants  
Casualty Company  
American Modern  
Home Insurance  
Company  
American Modern Life  
Insurance Company  
American Modern  
Lloyds Insurance  
American National  
Insurance Company  
American National  
Life Insurance Texas  
American Pacific  
Insurance Company,  
Inc.

American Partners  
Life Insurance  
Company  
American Premier  
Insurance Company  
American Select  
Insurance Company  
American Service  
Insurance Company  
American Skandia  
Life Assurance  
American Southern  
Home Insurance  
American Standard  
Insurance Company  
of WI  
American Standard  
Lloyds  
American States  
Insurance Company  
of Texas  
American States  
Insurance Company  
American States Life  
Insurance Company  
American States  
Preferred Insurance  
American United Life  
Insurance Company  
American Western  
Home Insurance  
Company  
American Zurich  
Insurance Company  
Americo Financial  
Life & Annuity  
Americom Life &  
Annuity Insurance  
AmerUs Life  
Insurance Company  
AmerUs Life  
Insurance Company  
Amex Assurance  
Company  
Amica Lloyd's of  
Texas

Amica Mutual  
Insurance Company  
Annuity Investors Life  
Insurance Company  
Anthem Health Plans  
of Virginia  
Anthem Insurance  
Companies Inc  
Anthem Life  
Insurance Company  
Associated Indemnity  
Corporation  
Associates Insurance  
Company  
Associates Lloyds  
Insurance Company  
Assurance Company  
of America  
Atlanta Casualty  
Company  
Atlanta Specialty  
Insurance Company  
Atlantic Indemnity  
Company  
Atlantic Insurance  
Company  
Atlantic Security  
Insurance Company  
Audubon Indemnity  
Company  
Audubon Insurance  
Company  
Auto Insurance  
Company of  
Hartford  
Avomark Insurance  
Company  
Bankers Life and  
Casualty Company  
Bankers Life  
Insurance Company  
of Illinois  
Bankers Life  
Insurance Company  
of New York

Bankers Life  
Insurance Company  
of New York  
Bankers National Life  
Insurance Company  
Beneficial Life  
Insurance Company  
Berkshire Mutual  
Insurance Company  
Birmingham Fire  
Insurance Company  
of Pennsylvania  
Blue Ridge Indemnity  
Company  
Blue Ridge Insurance  
Company  
Bridgefield Casualty  
Insurance Company  
Bridgefield Employers  
Insurance Company  
Bristol West Casualty  
Insurance Company  
Bristol West  
Insurance Company  
Business Men's  
Assurance Company  
C M Life Insurance  
Company  
CalFarm Insurance  
Company  
California Casualty &  
Fire Insurance  
Company  
California Casualty  
Compensation  
Insurance Company  
California Casualty  
General Insurance  
Company  
California Casualty  
Indemnity Exchange  
California Casualty  
Insurance Company  
Camden Fire  
Insurance Assoc

Canada Life  
Assurance Company  
USB  
Canada Life Insurance  
Company of  
America  
Canada Life Insurance  
Company of New  
York  
Central Reserve Life  
Charter Indemnity  
Company  
Charter Oak Fire  
Insurance Company  
Cherokee National  
Life Insurance  
Company  
Chicago Insurance  
Company  
China America  
Insurance Company  
Ltd.  
CIM Insurance  
Corporation  
Cincinnati Casualty  
Company  
Cincinnati Indemnity  
Company  
Cincinnati Insurance  
Company  
Cincinnati Life  
Insurance Company  
Citizens Insurance  
Company of  
America  
Citizens Insurance  
Company of Illinois  
Citizens Insurance  
Company of the  
Midwest  
Citizens Insurance  
Company of Ohio  
Clarendon America  
Insurance Company  
Clarendon National  
Insurance Company

Clarendon Select  
Insurance Company  
Clarica Life Insurance  
Company-United  
States  
CNA Group Life  
Assurance Company  
Coast National  
Insurance Company  
Colonial American  
Casualty & Surety  
Colonial County  
Mutual Insurance  
Company  
Colonial Life &  
Accident Insurance  
Colonial Penn Life  
Insurance  
Colorado Bankers Life  
Insurance Company  
Colorado Casualty  
Insurance Company  
Columbia Casualty  
Company  
Columbine Life  
Insurance Company  
Columbus Life  
Insurance Company  
Combined Insurance  
Company of  
America  
Combined Life  
Insurance Company  
of New York  
Commerce and  
Industry Insurance  
Company  
Commercial Guaranty  
Insurance Company  
Connecticut  
Indemnity Company  
Conseco Annuity  
Assurance Company  
Conseco Health  
Insurance Company

Conseco Life  
Insurance Company  
of Texas  
Conseco Life  
Insurance of New  
York  
Conseco Life  
Insurance Company  
Conseco Medical  
Insurance Company  
Conseco Senior  
Health Insurance  
Company  
Conseco Variable  
Insurance Company  
Consolidated  
Insurance Company  
Continental  
Assurance Company  
Continental Casualty  
Company  
Continental General  
Insurance Company  
Continental Lloyd's  
Insurance Company  
Continental National  
Indemnity Company  
Cotton States Life  
Insurance Company  
Cotton States Mutual  
Insurance Company  
COUNTRY Casualty  
Insurance Company  
COUNTRY Investors  
Life Assurance  
COUNTRY Life  
Insurance Company  
COUNTRY Mutual  
Insurance Company  
COUNTRY Preferred  
Insurance Company  
Coventry Insurance  
Company  
CUNA Mutual  
Insurance Society  
CUNA Mutual Life  
Insurance Company

Dairyland Insurance  
Company  
Dairyland County  
Mutual Insurance  
Company of Texas  
Dakota Fire Insurance  
Company  
Depositors Insurance  
Company  
Direct Insurance  
Company  
Dixie National Life  
Insurance Company  
Educators Life  
Insurance Company  
EMC Property &  
Casualty Company  
EMC Reinsurance  
Company  
EMCASCO Insurance  
Company  
Empire Fidelity  
Investments Life  
Empire Fire & Marine  
Inc Company  
Empire General Life  
Assurance  
Empire Indemnity  
Insurance Company  
Employers Fire  
Insurance Company  
Employers Insurance  
Company of Wausau  
Employers Mutual  
Casualty Company  
Equitable Life  
Insurance Company  
of Iowa  
Equity Insurance  
Company  
Erie Family Life  
Insurance Company  
Erie Insurance  
Company  
Erie Insurance  
Company of New  
York

Erie Insurance  
Exchange  
Erie Insurance  
Property & Casualty  
Company  
Excelsior Insurance  
Company  
Farm and City  
Insurance Company  
Farmers Casualty  
Insurance Company  
Farmington Casualty  
Company  
Farmland Mutual  
Insurance Company  
Federal Home Life  
Insurance Company  
Fidelity & Guaranty  
Life of New York  
Fidelity and Deposit  
Company of  
Maryland  
Fidelity and Guaranty  
Life Insurance  
Fidelity Investments  
Life Insurance  
Financial Assurance  
Life Insurance  
Financial Benefit Life  
Insurance Company  
Financial Benefit Life  
Insurance Company  
Financial Indemnity  
Company  
Fire & Casualty  
Insurance Company  
of Connecticut  
Fireman's Fund Count  
Mutual Insurance  
Company  
Fireman's Fund  
Indemnity  
Corporation  
Fireman's Fund  
Insurance Company  
of Georgia

Fireman's Fund  
Insurance Company  
of Hawaii  
Fireman's Fund  
Insurance Company  
of Louisiana  
Fireman's Fund  
Insurance Company  
of Missouri  
Fireman's Fund  
Insurance Company  
of Nebraska  
Fireman's Fund  
Insurance Company  
of Ohio  
Fireman's Fund  
Insurance Company  
of Texas  
Fireman's Fund  
Insurance Company  
of Wisconsin  
Fireman's Fund  
Insurance Company  
First Allmerica  
Financial Life  
Insurance Company  
First Colony Life  
Insurance Company  
First Fire & Casualty  
Insurance of Hawaii  
First Floridian Auto &  
Home  
First Great-West Life  
& Annuity Insurance  
Company  
First Indemnity  
Insurance of Hawaii  
First Insurance  
Company of Hawaii  
First Liberty  
Insurance  
Corporation  
First National  
Insurance Company  
of America  
First Penn-Pacific Life  
Insurance Company

First SAFECO  
National Life of New  
York  
First Security Benefit  
Life & Annuity, New  
York  
First Security  
Insurance of Hawaii  
First SunAmerican  
Life Insurance  
Company  
First Trenton  
Indemnity Company  
First United American  
Life Insurance  
First Unum Life  
Insurance Company  
First Variable Life  
Insurance Company  
Flagship City  
Insurance Company  
Fort Dearborn Life  
Insurance Company  
G.U.I.C. Insurance  
Company  
Galway Insurance  
Company  
Garden State Life  
Insurance Company  
GE Capital Life  
Assurance Company  
of New York  
GEICO Casualty  
Company  
GEICO General  
Insurance Company  
GEICO Indemnity  
Company  
GE Life & Annuity  
Assurance  
General Casualty  
Company of Illinois  
General Casualty  
Company of  
Wisconsin  
General Electric  
Capital Assurance

General Insurance  
Company of  
America  
Globe American  
Casualty Company  
Globe Indemnity  
Company  
Globe Life and  
Accident Insurance  
Company  
GMAC Direct  
Insurance Company  
GMAC Insurance  
Company Online  
Golden American Life  
Insurance Company  
Golden Eagle  
Insurance Corp  
Golden Rule  
Insurance Company  
Government  
Employees  
Insurance Company  
Granite State  
Insurance Company  
Great Amer  
Contemporary  
Insurance Company  
Great American  
Alliance Insurance  
Company  
Great American  
Assurance Company  
Great American E&S  
Insurance Company  
Great American  
Insurance Company  
Great American  
Insurance Company,  
New York  
Great American Life  
Assurance of Puerto  
Rico  
Great American Life  
Insurance Company

Great American  
Lloyds Insurance  
Company  
Great American  
Security Insurance  
Company  
Great American Spirit  
Insurance Company  
Great Southern Life  
Insurance Company  
Great Texas County  
Mutual Insurance  
Company  
Great-West Life &  
Annuity  
Great-West Life  
Assurance  
Company, United  
States  
Grocers Insurance  
Company  
Guaranty National  
Insurance Company  
of Connecticut  
Guaranty National  
Insurance Company  
Gulf Group Lloyds  
Gulf Insurance  
Company  
Gulf Underwriters  
Insurance Company  
Gulfco Life Insurance  
Company  
Hamilton Mutual  
Insurance Company  
Hanover American  
Insurance Company  
Hanover Insurance  
Company  
Harbor Specialty  
Insurance Company  
Harleysville Mutual  
Insurance Company  
Harleysville Preferred  
Insurance Company

Harleysville Lake  
States Insurance  
Company  
Harleysville  
Worcester Insurance  
Company  
Harleysville Insurance  
Company  
Harleysville Insurance  
Company of NY  
Harleysville Pennland  
Insurance Company  
Harleysville Insurance  
Company of NJ  
Harleysville-Garden  
State Insurance  
Company  
Harleysville Insurance  
Company of Ohio  
Harleysville-Atlantic  
Insurance Company  
Hart Life Insurance  
Company  
Hartford Accident &  
Indemnity Company  
Hartford Casualty  
Insurance Company  
Hartford Fire  
Insurance Company  
Hartford Insurance  
Company of  
Midwest  
Hartford Insurance  
Company of  
Southeast  
Hartford Insurance  
Company of Illinois  
Hartford  
International Life  
Reassurance  
Hartford Life &  
Accident Insurance  
Hartford Life and  
Annuity Insurance  
Hartford Life  
Insurance Company

Hartford Lloyds  
Insurance Company  
Hartford  
Underwriters  
Insurance Company  
Hawkeye-Security  
Insurance Company  
Home State County  
Mutual Insurance  
Company  
Homeland Central  
Insurance Company  
Homeland Insurance  
Company of New  
York  
Hoosier Insurance  
Company  
Horace Mann  
Insurance Company  
Horace Mann Life  
Insurance Company  
Horace Mann Lloyds  
Horace Mann  
Property & Casualty  
Insurance Company  
IDS Life Insurance  
Company  
IDS Life Insurance  
Company of NY  
IDS Property Casualty  
Insurance Company  
Illinois Annuity and  
Insurance Company  
Illinois Annuity and  
Insurance Company  
Illinois EMCASCO  
Insurance Company  
Illinois National  
Insurance Company  
Indiana Insurance  
Company  
Indianapolis Life  
Insurance Company  
Indianapolis Life  
Insurance Company  
Infinity Insurance  
Company

Infinity National  
Insurance Company  
Infinity Select  
Insurance Company  
ING Insurance  
Company of  
America  
ING Life Insurance  
Company of  
America  
ING Life Insurance  
and Annuity  
Insurance Company of  
the State of  
Pennsylvania  
Insurance Corp of  
Hannover  
Insurance Investors  
Life  
Integon Casualty  
Insurance Company  
Integon General  
Insurance  
Corporation  
Integon Indemnity  
Corporation  
Integon National  
Insurance Company  
Integon Preferred  
Insurance Company  
Integon Specialty  
Insurance Company  
Integrity Life  
Insurance Company  
Interstate Fire &  
Casualty Company  
Interstate Indemnity  
Company  
Investors Partner Life  
Insurance Company  
Jefferson Insurance  
Company  
Jefferson Pilot  
Financial Insurance  
Company

Jefferson-Pilot Life  
America Insurance  
Company  
Jefferson-Pilot Life  
Insurance Company  
John Hancock Life  
Insurance  
John Hancock  
Variable Life  
Kansas City Fire &  
Marine  
Kansas City Life  
Insurance Company  
Kemper Auto & Home  
Insurance Company  
Keyport Life  
Insurance Company  
Knights of Columbus  
Lafayette Insurance  
Company  
Lafayette Life  
Insurance Company  
Landmark American  
Insurance Company  
Landmark Insurance  
Company  
Leader Insurance  
Company  
Leader Preferred  
Insurance Company  
Lexington Insurance  
Company  
Liberty County  
Mutual Insurance  
Company  
Liberty Insurance  
Company of  
America  
Liberty Insurance  
Corporation  
Liberty Insurance  
Underwriters  
Liberty Life Assurance  
of Boston  
Liberty Lloyds of  
Texas Insurance  
Company

Liberty Mutual Fire  
Insurance Company  
Liberty Mutual  
Insurance Company  
Liberty National Life  
Insurance Company  
Liberty Northwest  
Insurance Corp  
Liberty Personal  
Insurance Company  
Liberty Surplus  
Insurance Corp  
Life Insurance  
Company of Georgia  
Life Insurance  
Company of  
Southwest  
Life Investors  
Insurance Company  
of America  
Lincoln General  
Insurance Company  
Lincoln Life &  
Annuity of New York  
Lincoln National Life  
Insurance Company  
LM Insurance  
Corporation  
Maine Bonding &  
Casualty Company  
Mainland Insurance  
Company  
Manufacturers Life  
Insurance (USA)  
Maryland Casualty  
Company  
Maryland Insurance  
Company  
Maryland Lloyds  
Massachusetts Bay  
Insurance Company  
Massachusetts  
Homeland  
Insurance Company  
Massachusetts Mutual  
Life Insurance

MassWest Insurance  
Company, Inc.  
MEMBERS Life  
Insurance Company  
Mendakota Insurance  
Company  
Mendota Insurance  
Company  
Merchants &  
Businessmen's  
Mutual  
Meridian Citizens  
Mutual Insurance  
Meridian Security  
Insurance Company  
Merrill Lynch Life  
Insurance Company  
MIC General  
Insurance  
Corporation  
MIC Property &  
Casualty Insurance  
Corp  
MICO Insurance  
Company  
Mid-American Fire &  
Casualty Company  
Mid-America  
Insurance Company  
Mid-Continent  
Casualty Company  
Mid-Continent  
Insurance Company  
(OK)  
Middlesex Insurance  
Company  
Midland National Life  
Insurance Company  
Mid-Plains Insurance  
Company  
Midway Insurance  
Company of Illinois  
Midwestern  
Indemnity Company  
Midwestern United  
Life Insurance  
Company

Milbank Insurance  
Company  
Milwaukee Casualty  
Insurance Company  
Milwaukee Insurance  
Company  
Milwaukee Safeguard  
Insurance Company  
MIMLIC Life  
Insurance Company  
Minnesota Insurance  
Company  
Minnesota Life  
Insurance Company  
ML Life Insurance  
Company of New  
York  
MML Bay State Life  
Insurance Company  
Modern Life  
Insurance Company  
of Arizona  
Modern Woodmen of  
America  
Montgomery  
Indemnity Company  
Montgomery Mutual  
Insurance Company  
Montgomery Ward  
Insurance Company  
Monticello Insurance  
Company  
Monumental Life  
Insurance Company  
Motorists Mutual  
Insurance Company  
Motors Insurance  
Corporation  
Mountain Laurel  
Assurance Company  
Mutual Services  
Casualty Insurance  
Company  
National Alliance  
Insurance Company  
National Benefit Life  
Insurance Company

National Casualty  
Company  
National Continental  
Insurance Company  
National Farmers  
Union Life  
National Fire  
Insurance Hartford  
National General  
Assurance Company  
National General  
Insurance Company  
National Income Life  
Insurance  
National Insurance  
Association  
National Integrity Life  
Insurance Company  
National Interstate  
Insurance Company  
National Interstate  
Insurance Company,  
Hawaii  
National Life  
Insurance Company  
National Standard  
Insurance Company  
National Surety  
Corporation  
National Union Fire  
Insurance Company,  
Pennsylvania  
National Union Fire  
Insurance of  
Louisiana  
NationalCare  
Insurance Company  
Nationwide Affinity  
Insurance Company  
of America  
Nationwide  
Agribusiness  
Insurance Company  
Nationwide Assurance  
Company  
Nationwide General  
Insurance Company

Nationwide  
Indemnity Company  
Nationwide Insurance  
Company of  
America  
Nationwide Insurance  
Company of Florida  
Nationwide Life &  
Annuity of America  
Nationwide Life &  
Annuity Insurance  
Nationwide Life  
Insurance Company  
Nationwide Life  
Insurance Company  
of America  
Nationwide Life  
Insurance Company  
of Delaware  
Nationwide Lloyds  
Nationwide Mutual  
Fire Insurance  
Company  
Nationwide Mutual  
Insurance Company  
Nationwide Property  
& Casualty  
Insurance Company  
Netherlands  
Insurance Company  
New Hampshire  
Indemnity Company  
New Hampshire  
Insurance Company  
New Jersey Indemnity  
Insurance Company  
New Jersey  
Manufacturers  
Insurance  
New Jersey Re-  
Insurance Company  
New South Insurance  
Company  
North American  
Company for Life  
and Health

North American Life  
& Health of New  
York  
North Pacific  
Insurance Company  
Northern Assurance  
Company of  
America  
Northern Insurance  
Company of New  
York  
Northfield Insurance  
Company  
Northland Casualty  
Company  
Northland Insurance  
Company  
Northstar Life  
Insurance Company  
Northwestern Long  
Term Care  
Northwestern Mutual  
Life Insurance  
Nutmeg Insurance  
Company  
Nutmeg Life  
Insurance Company  
Ohio Casualty  
Insurance Company  
Ohio Casualty of New  
Jersey  
Ohio Farmers  
Insurance Company  
Ohio National Life  
Assurance  
Corporation  
Ohio National Life  
Insurance Company  
Ohio Security  
Insurance Company  
Ohio State Life  
Insurance Company  
Oklahoma Surety  
Company  
Old American  
Insurance Company

Old Standard Life  
Insurance Company  
Old West Annuity &  
Life Insurance  
Company  
Omni Indemnity  
Company  
Omni Insurance  
Company  
Omnia Life Insurance  
Company  
One Beacon America  
Insurance Company  
OneBeacon Insurance  
Company  
OneBeacon Lloyd's of  
Texas  
OneBeacon Midwest  
Insurance Company  
Oregon Automobile  
Insurance Company  
Pacific Insurance  
Company, Ltd.  
Pacific Life & Accident  
Insurance Company  
Pacific Life & Annuity  
Company  
Pacific Life Insurance  
Company  
Parkway Insurance  
Company  
Patriot General  
Insurance Company  
Paul Revere Life  
Insurance Company  
Peak Property &  
Casualty Insurance  
Corporation  
Peerless Indemnity  
Insurance Company  
Peerless Insurance  
Company  
Penn Insurance and  
Annuity Company  
Penn Mutual Life  
Insurance Company

Pennsylvania General  
Insurance Company  
Pension Life  
Insurance of  
America  
Peoples Benefit Life  
Insurance Company  
PG Insurance  
Company of New  
York  
PHL Variable  
Insurance Company  
Phoenix Insurance  
Company  
Phoenix Life and  
Annuity Company  
Phoenix Life  
Insurance Company  
Physicians Life  
Insurance Company  
Physicians Mutual  
Insurance Company  
Pioneer Life  
Insurance Company  
Pioneer Mutual Life  
Insurance Company  
Potomac Insurance  
Company of Illinois  
Premier Insurance  
Company of  
Massachusetts  
Primerica Life  
Insurance Company  
Professional  
Insurance Company  
Progressive American  
Insurance Company  
Progressive Auto Pro  
Insurance Company  
Progressive Bayside  
Insurance Company  
Progressive Casualty  
Insurance Company  
Progressive Classic  
Insurance Company

Progressive  
consumers  
Insurance Company  
Progressive County  
Mutual Insurance  
Company  
Progressive Express  
Insurance Company  
Progressive Gulf  
Insurance Company  
Progressive Halcyon  
Insurance Company  
Progressive Hawaii  
Insurance Company  
Progressive Home  
Insurance Company  
Progressive Home  
Underwriters  
Insurance Company  
Progressive Marathon  
Insurance Company  
Progressive Max  
Insurance Company  
Progressive Michigan  
Insurance Company  
Progressive Mountain  
Insurance Company  
Progressive  
Northeastern  
Insurance Company  
Progressive Northern  
Insurance Company  
Progressive  
Northwestern  
Insurance Company  
Progressive Preferred  
Insurance Company  
Progressive Premier  
Insurance Company  
of IL  
Progressive Paloverde  
Insurance Company  
Progressive Security  
Insurance Company  
Progressive  
Southeastern  
Insurance Company

Progressive Specialty  
Insurance Company  
Progressive Universal  
Insurance Company  
of IL  
Progressive West  
Insurance Company  
Property & Casualty  
Insurance Company  
of Hartford  
Protective Life &  
Annuity Insurance  
Protective Life  
Insurance Company  
Protective Life  
Insurance Company  
of Ohio  
Protective Life  
Insurance of  
Kentucky  
Provident Life and  
Accident  
Provident Life and  
Casualty  
Pruco Life Insurance  
Company  
Pruco Life Insurance  
Company of New  
Jersey  
Prudential Healthcare  
of America  
Prudential Insurance  
Company of  
America  
Prudential Life  
Insurance Company  
of Arizona  
Prudential Select Life  
of America  
Prudential Uniformed  
Services  
Reassure America Life  
Insurance Company  
Red Oak Insurance  
Company  
Redland Insurance  
Company

Regal Insurance  
Company  
Regent Insurance  
Company  
Reliable Life  
Insurance Company  
ReliaStar Life  
Insurance Company  
of New York  
ReliaStar Life  
Insurance Company  
Republic Indemnity  
Company of  
California  
Reserve National  
Insurance Company  
Royal Indemnity  
Company  
Royal Insurance  
Company of  
America  
Royal Surplus Lines  
Insurance Company  
SAFECO Insurance  
Company of  
America  
SAFECO Insurance  
Company of Illinois  
SAFECO Insurance  
Company of Oregon  
SAFECO Life  
Insurance Company  
Safeco Life Insurance  
Company of Indiana  
SAFECO Lloyds  
Insurance Company  
SAFECO National  
Insurance Company  
SAFECO National Life  
Insurance Company  
Safeguard Insurance  
Company  
San Diego Insurance  
Company  
Scottsdale Indemnity  
Company

Scottsdale Insurance  
Company  
Scottsdale Surplus  
Lines Insurance  
Securian Life  
Insurance Company  
Security Benefit Life  
Insurance Company  
Security Insurance  
Company of  
Hartford  
Security Life of  
Denver Insurance  
Company  
Security National  
Insurance Company  
Security National  
Insurance Company  
Security-Connecticut  
Life Insurance  
Select Insurance  
Company  
Selective Insurance  
Company of  
America  
Selective Insurance  
Company of New  
York  
Selective Insurance  
Company of South  
Carolina  
Selective Insurance  
Company of the  
Southeast  
Selective Way  
Insurance Company  
Sentinel Insurance  
Company Ltd.  
Sentry Casualty  
Company  
Sentry Insurance a  
Mutual Company  
Sentry Life Insurance  
Company  
Sentry Life of New  
York  
Sentry Lloyds of Texas

Sentry Select  
Insurance Company  
Servus Life Insurance  
Company  
Shield Insurance  
Company  
Shelter General  
Insurance Company  
Shelter Life Insurance  
Company  
Shelter Mutual  
Insurance Company  
Shenandoah Life  
Insurance Company  
Southern Farm  
Bureau Life  
Insurance Company  
Southern Farm  
Bureau Life  
Insurance Company  
Southern United Fire  
Insurance Company  
Southland Life  
Insurance Company  
Southwestern Life  
Insurance Company  
Specialty Risk  
Insurance Company  
Standard Fire  
Insurance Company  
Standard Life and  
Accident Insurance  
Standard Life  
Insurance Company  
of Indiana  
State and County  
Mutual Fire  
State Auto Insurance  
Company of Ohio  
State Auto Insurance  
Company of  
Wisconsin  
State Auto National  
Insurance Company  
State Auto Property &  
Casualty Insurance  
Company

State Automobile  
Mutual Insurance  
Company  
State Farm Annuity  
and Life Insurance  
Company  
State Farm County  
Mutual Insurance  
Company of Texas  
State Farm Fire and  
Casualty Company  
State Farm Florida  
Insurance Company  
State Farm General  
Insurance Company  
State Farm Indemnity  
Company  
State Farm Life and  
Accident Assurance  
Company  
State Farm Life  
Insurance Company  
State Farm Lloyds  
State Farm Mutual  
Automobile  
Insurance Company  
State National  
Insurance Company,  
Inc  
State National Spec  
Insurance Company  
Steadfast Insurance  
Company  
Sterling Life  
Insurance Company  
Stonebridge Life  
Insurance Company  
Sun Life Assurance  
Company of Canada  
(US)  
Sun Life Assurance of  
Canada USB  
Sun Life Insurance &  
Annuity of New York  
SunAmerican Life  
Insurance Company

Sunset Life Insurance  
Company of  
America  
Swiss Re Life &  
Health America  
Teachers Insurance  
Company  
Texas General  
Indemnity Company  
Thrivent Financial  
Lutherans  
TICO Insurance  
Company  
Transamerica  
Assurance Company  
Transamerica  
Financial Life  
Transamerica Life  
Insurance & Annuity  
Company  
Transamerica Life  
Insurance Company  
Transamerica  
Occidental Life  
Transcontinental  
Insurance Company  
Transport Insurance  
Company  
Transportation  
Insurance Company  
Travelers Company  
Insurance Company  
Travelers Casualty &  
Surety Company  
Travelers Casualty &  
Surety Company of  
Illinois  
Travelers Casualty &  
Surety of America  
Travelers Casualty  
Company of  
Connecticut  
Travelers Commercial  
Casualty Company  
Travelers Commercial  
Insurance

Travelers Excess &  
Surplus Lines  
Travelers Home and  
Marine  
Travelers Indemnity  
Company of  
America  
Travelers Indemnity  
Company of  
Connecticut  
Travelers Indemnity  
Company of Illinois  
Travelers Indemnity  
Company  
Travelers Insurance  
Company (Life  
Department)  
Travelers Life and  
Annuity  
Travelers Lloyds  
Insurance Company  
Travelers Lloyds of  
Texas Insurance  
Travelers Personal  
Security  
Travelers Property  
Casualty Insurance  
Company  
Travelers Property  
Casualty Insurance  
Company of Illinois  
Trinity Lloyd's  
Insurance Company  
Trinity Universal  
Insurance Company  
Trinity Universal  
Insurance Company  
of Kansas  
Trumbull Insurance  
Company  
Twin City Fire  
Insurance Company  
Unified Life Insurance  
Company  
Union Central Life  
Insurance Company

Union Fidelity Life  
Insurance Company  
Union Insurance  
Company of  
Providence  
Union National Life  
Insurance Company  
Unisun Insurance  
Company  
United American  
Insurance Company  
United Casualty  
Insurance Company  
of America  
United Fidelity Life  
Insurance Company  
United Financial  
Casualty Company  
United Fire &  
Casualty Company  
United Fire &  
Indemnity Company  
United Fire Lloyds  
(Texas only)  
United Insurance  
Company of  
America  
United Investors Life  
Insurance Company  
United Life & Annuity  
Insurance Company  
United Life Insurance  
Company  
U.S. Security  
Insurance Company  
United Teacher  
Associates  
Insurance  
Unitrin Auto & Home  
Insurance Company  
Unitrin County  
Mutual Insurance  
Company  
Unitrin Direct  
Insurance Company

Unitrin Direct  
Property & Casualty  
Company  
Unitrin Preferred  
Insurance Company  
Universal Casualty  
Company  
Unum Life Insurance  
Company of  
America  
USG Annuity & Life  
Company  
Valiant Insurance  
Company  
Valley Forge  
Insurance Company  
Valley Forge Life  
Insurance Company  
Valley Insurance  
Company  
Valley Property &  
Casualty Insurance  
Variable Annuity Life  
Insurance Company  
Veterans Life  
Insurance Company  
Veterinary Pet  
Insurance Company

Viking County Mutual  
Insurance Company  
Viking Insurance  
Company of  
Wisconsin  
Vintage Insurance  
Company  
Washington National  
Insurance Company  
Wausau Business  
Insurance Company  
Wausau General  
Insurance Company  
Wausau Underwriters  
Insurance Company  
West American  
Insurance Company  
West Coast Life  
Insurance Company  
Western and Southern  
Life Insurance  
Western Heritage  
Insurance Company  
Western Reserve  
Assurance of Ohio  
Western Southern Life  
Assurance

Western States  
Insurance Company  
Western United Life  
Assurance Company  
Westfield Insurance  
Company  
Westfield National  
Insurance Company  
Windsor Insurance  
Company  
Woodmen of the  
World Life Society  
Worldwide Casualty  
Insurance Company  
Worldwide Direct  
Auto Insurance  
Company  
Worldwide Insurance  
Company  
York Insurance  
Company of Maine  
Zurich American  
Insurance Company  
of Illinois  
Zurich American  
Insurance Company

**Insurance groups exempted from examination**

1) Allstate Insurance  
Group  
2) Automobile Club of  
America  
3) Balboa Life &  
Casualty Group  
4) CBD Holdings Ltd.  
5) Central Services  
Group  
6) Chubb Group of  
Insurance Cos  
7) Employers Re  
Group  
8) Farmers Insurance  
Group

9) Guardian Life  
10) Jackson National  
Group  
11) Legal & General  
Insurance Group  
12) Main Street  
America Group  
13) Mercury General  
Group  
14) Metropolitan Life  
& Affiliated  
15) MONY Life  
Insurance Company  
16) Munich American  
Reassurance Co

17) Mutual of Omaha  
Insurance Company  
18).New York Life  
Group  
19).PEMCO Insurance  
Companies  
20) Presidential Life  
Corp  
21) Principal Financial  
Group  
22) St Paul  
Companies  
23) TIAA Group  
24) USAA Group  
25) Vesta Insurance  
Group Inc.

**Insurance groups that refused to participate**

The following insurance groups refused to cooperate with the examination program: Auto-Owners Insurance Group; Pekin Insurance; and Grange Mutual Casualty Group. The DC Commissioner was therefore unable to render a report or opinion as to whether these companies are in compliance with the privacy requirements of the GLB Act or the NAIC model law and regulations.

**ATTACHMENT B**  
**THEMES**

In addition to findings and observations, the consultants identified a number of themes related to insurers' information handling practices and procedures to ensure customers are granted the rights provided to them in the model laws and regulations. These themes are presented in the chart below. The themes are grouped by privacy elements and safeguarding elements, and categories of themes have been developed within these groups to differentiate between positive and negative themes, as well as items of interest that do not have either a positive or negative impact.

**THEMES**

<b><u>THEME</u></b>	<b><u>IMPACT/RELEVANCE</u></b>
<b>Privacy Themes</b>	
<p><b>Privacy Notice Delivery</b> Approximately 20% of companies automatically print the privacy notices along with the insurance policies and send the notices out with the policy. [NAIC 2000 Model]</p>	<p>This systemic control helps ensure that the customer receives the privacy notice in a timely manner because it reduces the potential for human error in delivering the privacy notice. This does, however, result in the customer not receiving the notice during the application process, which could be considered the initiation of the customer relationship.</p>
<p><b>Simplified and Short-form Notices</b> Approximately 90% of companies do not provide customers with a simplified or short-form notice. Companies have the option of providing such a notice if they do not wish to reserve the right to disclose nonpublic personal financial information about customers except as authorized under sections 15 and 16 of the NAIC 2000 Model. Sections 15 and 16 relate to the companies' rights to share information with service providers or as necessary according to the law, without offering customers an opt-out. If this is the only sharing a company wishes to do, then they can simply state that in fact. [NAIC 2000 Model]</p>	<p>Even though many companies do not share outside of the exceptions outlined in sections 15 and 16, they choose to provide the more comprehensive long-form notice to their customers. In this notice, they more thoroughly describe how information is used and shared, providing examples of sharing relationships. The customer is therefore provided with a more informative notice than is required by the law.</p>

<u><b>THEME</b></u>	<u><b>IMPACT/RELEVANCE</b></u>
<p><b>Authorization Forms</b>  Approximately 90% of companies' sharing practices related to nonpublic personal health information are within the exceptions outlined in section 17 of the NAIC 2000 Model. However, many companies still have developed authorization forms and have policies related to when these authorization forms should be used. The exceptions outlined in section 17 state that no authorization is required to share nonpublic personal health information if such sharing is done within the context of certain insurance functions. Therefore, most companies do not require authorization forms for use in facilitating a request for a customer's nonpublic personal health information. [NAIC 2000 Model]</p>	<p>Many companies appear to be taking a conservative approach to restricting access to their customers' non-public personal health information.</p>
<p><b>Delivery of Notice of Insurance Information Practices</b>  All of the companies reviewed that write business in NAIC 1982 Model states provide the Notice of Insurance Information Practices at the time of collection of the personal information since the notice is embedded in the application form. The customer also signs these forms acknowledging that they have read the information, including the Notice of Insurance Information Practices. In addition to information on the collection and use of nonpublic personal information, these notices include disclosure on a customer's rights to access, correct, amend and delete such information. [NAIC 1982 Model]</p>	<p>This enables the customer to understand his or her privacy rights and rights to access and correct their personal information during the application process.</p>

<u>THEME</u>	<u>IMPACT/RELEVANCE</u>
<p><b>Content of Notice of Insurance Information Practices</b>  Approximately 50% of companies reviewed that write business in NAIC 1982 Model states have notices that are missing elements outlined in sections 8 and 9 of the NAIC 1982 Model. The rights outlined in sections 8 and 9 relate to the customers' rights to access, correct, amend and delete their personal information. Many companies did not feel that all the detailed elements related to these rights should be disclosed and that, if disclosed, the notices would be lengthy and somewhat confusing to customers.  [NAIC 1982 Model]</p>	<p>There is an overall variation of practice in the extent of disclosure related to the customers' rights to access, correct, amend, and delete their personal information as outlined in sections 8 and 9 of the NAIC 1982 Model. Guidance may be necessary related to the extent of disclosure expected of companies to help ensure consistency in this area across the industry.</p>
<p><b>Policies and Procedures Related to Customers' Rights to Access, Correct, Amend, and Delete Their Personal Information</b>  While all companies insist that customers' rights to access, correct, amend and delete their information, as outlined in sections 8 and 9 of the NAIC 1982 Model are granted to them, approximately 60% of companies reviewed that write business in NAIC 1982 Model states did not have comprehensive internal policies and procedures related to these customer rights.  [NAIC 1982 Model]</p>	<p>While there is no requirement in the NAIC 1982 Model for a company to have formally documented policies and procedures related to customers' rights to access, correct, amend and delete their personal information, without evidence of policies and procedures, or internal training, it is difficult to ensure that employees understand how to handle such customer requests. There is a risk that such customer rights would not be appropriately granted to them.</p>

<u>THEME</u>	<u>IMPACT/RELEVANCE</u>
<p><b>Differing Privacy Notices for Property &amp; Casualty and Life &amp; Annuity</b>  Approximately 90% of the groups that wrote both Property &amp; Casualty and Life &amp; Annuity business used different notices for the two lines of business. This is due to the fact that Life &amp; Annuity lines of business have the additional requirement to comply with HIPAA regulations in addition to the GLB Act; while Property &amp; Casualty lines of business need only comply with the GLB Act.  [Item of interest]</p>	<p>In this way policyholders are provided with only the information that is relevant to their policy; however, if a policyholder has both types of policies, they may receive multiple notices which might be confusing to them.</p>
<p><b>Sharing with Third Parties</b>  Approximately 90% of companies do not appear to share information with third-parties outside of exceptions 14, 15 and 16 in the NAIC 2000 Model. These exceptions relate to the ability to share with service providers, joint marketers and as necessary according to the law.  [Item of interest]</p>	<p>For this reason, most companies do not offer an opt-out to the customer to prevent sharing.</p>
<p><b>Online Delivery of Privacy Notices</b>  No companies accept applications for products over the Web. As a result, no companies required consumers to acknowledge receipt of the privacy notice electronically. The Model Law states that an acceptable method for delivering a notice when a customer conducts transactions electronically is to post the notice on the Web site and require the customer to acknowledge receipt of the notice prior to obtaining a particular insurance product or service.  [Item of interest]</p>	<p>All the companies appear to prefer a traditional method of conducting business, among other reasons, due to the desire for hard copy documentation to be retained by both the company and the customer.</p>

<u>THEME</u>	<u>IMPACT/RELEVANCE</u>
<b>Safeguarding Themes</b>	
<p><b>Risk Assessment Process</b>  Approximately 10% of companies do not either: (1) complete risk assessments for customer information; (2) update the risk assessment regularly; or (3) have a formalized risk assessment process</p>	<p>This systemic control helps to ensure risks and threats to customer information are identified and mitigated. This includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identification of all customer information within the organization.</li> <li>• Identification of the reasonably anticipated threats to that information.</li> <li>• Identification of the controls to mitigate the threats.</li> </ul>
<p><b>External Data Transmissions</b>  Less than 10% of companies transmit customer information out of their environment in an unprotected manner.</p>	<p>This systemic control helps ensure that the customer information stays protected at all times. This includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policies requiring the listing of all file transmissions that are scheduled to occur on a regular basis, indicating the third party to whom the transmission is going, the purpose of the transmission, and the customer information contained within the transmission.</li> <li>• Policies designed to ensure data downloads or transmissions are appropriate, the business need is understood, the sensitivity of the information is communicated, and safeguards are in place.</li> <li>• Policies, procedures, or controls to protect the security and integrity of customer information that is being transmitted to third parties.</li> <li>• Controls to limit the employees who are authorized to perform or modify transmissions of customer information.</li> <li>• Controls that are in place to protect external transmissions of customer information from unauthorized access attempts (e.g., encryption, frame relay).</li> </ul>

<u><b>THEME</b></u>	<u><b>IMPACT/RELEVANCE</b></u>
<p><b>Protection of Customer Information Integrity</b>  Approximately 10% of companies use real customer information for system testing and do not control changes to systems containing customer information.</p>	<p>An insufficient number of policies have been developed and implemented surrounding “live production data”. Documentation needs to be developed on areas where “live production” customer information can be used in a test environment along with a business case for the need to use “live production” customer information. In addition, customer information systems change processes do not have sufficient impact analysis and review.</p>
<p><b>Evaluating Service Providers</b>  Approximately 10% of companies are not using a controlled process to verify the security program and controls at service providers that are handling protected information on behalf of the company.</p>	<p>Companies do not currently have a process to evaluate whether service providers have taken the appropriate steps to safeguard non-public personal information nor do they have the contract language in place to support this evaluation.</p>
<p><b>Security Awareness and Response</b>  Approximately 10% of companies are not training their employees in general security principles, including what their responsibilities are to protect customer information as well as knowing how to respond when a breach of security occurs.</p>	<p>Companies have no formal policies or procedures to respond to a security breach or incident. In addition, lack of a formal security training program limits employee knowledge of their role in protection of customer information.</p>
<p><b>Remote Access Restrictions</b>  Approximately 20% of companies are not adequately controlling remote access to systems and information from employees and contractors who work outside of the company’s offices and who require access to protected information.</p>	<p>The companies do not perform or did not provide documentation that they perform one or more of the following activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review and monitor dial-up access granted to each user.</li> <li>• Restrict remote access to company-owned property.</li> <li>• Restrict access to time of day.</li> </ul>

**ATTACHMENT C**

**QUESTIONNAIRE**

Please provide the name, title, and telephone number of the company contact person responsible for the answers to this set of questions using the file name "B1.Doc"

1) Does the company have a privacy notice that describes its information handling practices with respect to customer's nonpublic personal information? (Model 672 Section 5-7, Market Conduct Examination Standard - Standard 13)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

Please provide copies of all privacy notices, including initial annual, short-form and simplified notices, if applicable, using file name "B1A.Doc"

2) Has the company sent a privacy notice to all existing customers as of July 1, 2001 and were the notices sent at a time and in a manner that would reasonably allow customers to have received the notices by this date? (Model 672 Sections 5&6, Market Conduct Examination Standard - Standard 13, Procedure G)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

Please attach a brief explanation and any relevant documents using the file name "B1B.Doc"

3) Please explain how the company determined who all of their customers were, such as by performing an analysis defining customer and consumer status. (NAIC Model 672 Section 4 (F)&(I), Market Conduct Examination Standard - Standard 13, Procedure F)

Please attach a description/explanation and relevant documents using the file name "B1C.Doc"

4) What procedures has the company implemented to provide the initial privacy notice to customers and, if applicable, to consumers whose relationship began after July 1, 2001? (NAIC Model 672 Section 5 & NAIC Model 672 Section 6(B), Market Conduct Examination Standard - Standard 13, Procedure G)

Please attach an explanation and any relevant documents using the file name "B1D.Doc"

5) Please explain the procedure for providing privacy notices to customers on an annual basis? (e.g. at least once every 12 months or calendar year) (NAIC Model

**672 Section 6(A), Market Conduct Examination Standard - Standard 13, Procedure G)**

Please attach an explanation of the procedure and a copy of the annual privacy notice using the file name "B1E.Doc"

6) If applicable, please explain the procedure for providing revised notices to customers and, if applicable, to consumers. (Note this question applies only to substantive revisions to the privacy notice that will trigger a new mailing of the privacy notice) (NAIC Model 672 Section 9, NAIC Market Conduct Standard 13, Procedure F (4))

Please provide an explanation and attach a copy of any revised privacy notices using the file name "B1F.Doc"

7) Please explain how the notice was delivered in a manner that allows the customer to retain the notices or obtain them later in writing or, if the customer has agreed, electronically. (NAIC Model 672 Section 10(E), Market Conduct Examination Standard - Standard 13, Procedure K)

Please attach an explanation and/or any relevant documents using the file name "B1G.Doc"

8) What efforts did the company reasonably make to ensure that the format of all privacy notices meets the definition of "clear and conspicuous"? These efforts may include, but are not limited to:

- using everyday words
- using simple sentences; and
- avoiding technical language.

(NAIC Model 672 Section 4(B)(2), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 13, Procedure B).

Please attach an explanation and copies of the privacy notice in any formats in which it was delivered to customers and, if applicable, to consumers using the file name "B2A.Doc"

9) Are the privacy notices provided to customers and, if applicable, consumers an accurate representation of the company's information handling practices? (Section 7 of NAIC Model 672, NAIC Market Conduct Standard 13, Procedure B)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

B3A

10) Does the privacy notice address all of the required elements of a privacy notice as defined by Section 7 of the NAIC Model 672, including the identification

of the company and affiliates or subsidiaries, if applicable? (NAIC Market Conduct Standard 13, Procedure C)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_

B3B

11) Does the privacy notice include the categories of non-public personal financial information that the company collects? (NAIC Model 672 Section 7(A)(1), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 13, Procedure C (2))

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_

B3C

12) Does the privacy notice include the categories of non-public personal financial information that the company discloses, if applicable? (NAIC Model 672 Section 7(A)(2), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 13, Procedure C (3))

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_  
N/A \_\_\_\_\_

B3D

13) Does the privacy notice include the categories of affiliates and non-affiliated third parties to whom the company discloses non-public personal financial information, other than disclosures permitted under Section 15 and 16 of the NAIC model regulation, if applicable? (NAIC Model 672 Section 7(A)(3), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 13, Procedure C (4))

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_  
N/A \_\_\_\_\_

B3E

14) Does the privacy notice include the categories of non-public personal financial information about the company's former customers that the company discloses, and the categories of affiliates and non-affiliated third parties to whom the company discloses non-public personal financial information, other than disclosures permitted under Section 15 and 16 of the NAIC model regulation, if applicable? (NAIC Model 672 Section 7(A)(4), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 13 Procedure C (5))

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_  
N/A \_\_\_\_\_

B3F

15) If a company discloses non-public personal financial information to a non-affiliated third party under Section 14 of the NAIC model regulation, does the privacy notice include a separate description of the categories of information the company discloses and the categories of third parties with whom the company

has contracted? (NAIC Model 672 Section 7(A)(5), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 13 Procedure C (6))

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_  
N/A \_\_\_\_\_

B3G

16) Does the privacy notice include an explanation of the consumer' right to opt-out of the disclosure of non-public personal financial information to non-affiliated third parties, including the methods by which the consumer may exercise that right at any time, if applicable? (NAIC Model 672 Section 7(A)(6), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 13 Procedure C (7))

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_  
N/A \_\_\_\_\_

B3H

17) Does the privacy notice include any disclosures that the company may make under Section 603(d)(2)(A)(iii) of the Federal Fair Credit Reporting Act (15 U.S.C. 1681a(d)(2)(A)(iii)). That is, notices regarding the ability to opt-out of disclosures of information among affiliates, other than transaction and experience information? (NAIC Model 672 Section 7(A)(7), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 13 Procedure C (8))

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_

B3I

18) Does the privacy notice include the company's policies and practices with respect to protecting the confidentiality and security of non-public information? (NAIC Model 672 Section 7(A)(8), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 13 Procedure C (9))

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_

B3J

19) Does the privacy notice include, if a company only discloses non-public personal financial information as authorized under Section 15 and 16 of the NAIC model regulation, a statement that at a minimum should indicate the company makes disclosures to other affiliated and non-affiliated third parties, as applicable, as permitted by state laws regarding privacy? (NAIC Model 672 Section 7(B), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 13 Procedure C (10))

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_  
N/A \_\_\_\_\_

B3K

20) Does the company use a simplified privacy notice? (NAIC Model 672 Section 7(C)(5), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 13 Procedure D)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

B3L

If yes, please provide an explanation of the process the company used to determine that a simplified privacy notice was appropriate using the file name "B3M.Doc" (NAIC Model 672 Section 7(C)(5)).

21) Does the company use a short form privacy notice? (NAIC Model 672 Section 7(D), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 13 Procedure E)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

B3N

Please provide an explanation regarding the process for providing a short form privacy notice to consumers. The explanation should include the description of how consumers may obtain a privacy notice and how the company determined that the notice met the requirements of "Clear and Conspicuous" using the file name "B3O.Doc". (NAIC Model 672 Section 7(D)).

22) What procedures has the company performed to verify the accuracy and content of the privacy notice? (NAIC Model 672 Section 5(A) and Section 6(A), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 13 Procedure B)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

N/A \_\_\_\_\_

Please attach a description of the process and/or relevant sample documents using the file name "B3P.Doc"

23) Do the licensee's privacy notices include all necessary disclosures as determined by their review of information handling practices? (NAIC Model 672 Section 7, NAIC Market Conduct Standard 13 Procedure B)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

N/A \_\_\_\_\_

Please provide copies of the privacy notice(s) delivered to customers and, if applicable, to consumers using the file name "B3Q.Doc"

Note: Questions 24-30 relate to compliance with the privacy aspects of the NAIC 1982 Insurance Information and Privacy Protection Act. Companies that are not licensed in any state that has this law in effect should respond with an N/A.

24) Does the licensee provide a Notice of Insurance Information Practices to applicants or policyholders in those states that have adopted the Insurance Information and Privacy Protection Model Act? (NAIC Insurance Information and Privacy Protection Model Act, Section 4, NAIC Market Conduct Standard 10)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_  
N/A \_\_\_\_\_

Please provide copies of the privacy notice(s) delivered to applicants and policyholders using the file name "B3R.Doc"

25) Does the licensee provide the notice at the time of policy delivery when personal information is collected only from the applicant or public records? (NAIC insurance Information and Privacy Protection Model Act, Section 4(A)(1)(a), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 10)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_  
N/A \_\_\_\_\_

Please provide copies of any relevant policies and the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures using the file name "B3S.Doc"

26) Does the licensee provide the notice at the time the collection of personal information is initiated when personal information is collected from a source other than from the applicant or public records? (NAIC Insurance Information and Privacy Protection Model Act, Section 4(A)(1)(b), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 10)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_  
N/A \_\_\_\_\_

Please provide copies of any relevant policies and the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures using the file name "B3T.Doc"

27) Does the licensee provide a notice prior to policy renewal when personal information is collected from a source other than from the applicant or public records and a privacy notice has not been provided in the previous twenty-four months? (NAIC Insurance Information and Privacy Protection Model Act, Section 4(A)(2), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 10)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_  
N/A \_\_\_\_\_

Provide copies of any relevant policies and the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures using the file name "B3U.Doc"

28) Does the licensee's Notice of Insurance Information Practices contain all of the required disclosures required by the Insurance Information and Privacy Protection Model Act? (NAIC Insurance information and Privacy Protection Model Act, Section 4(B), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 10)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

N/A \_\_\_\_\_

Please provide copies of the privacy notice(s) delivered to applicants and policyholders using the file name "B3V.Doc"

29) Does the licensee provide access to recorded personal information? (NAIC Insurance Information and Privacy Protection Model Act, Section 8, NAIC Market Conduct Standard 11)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

N/A \_\_\_\_\_

Please provide copies of any relevant policies that explain the individual's access rights, how the individual may exercise these rights, and how the licensee responds to such requests, as well as the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name "B3W.Doc"

30) Does the licensee allow individuals to request that recorded personal information be corrected, amended, or deleted? (NAIC Insurance Information and Privacy Protection Model Act, Section 9, NAIC Market Conduct Standard 11)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

N/A \_\_\_\_\_

Please provide copies of any relevant policies that explain the individual's rights to request that personal information be corrected, amended, or deleted, how the individual may exercise these rights, and how the licensee responds to such requests, as well as the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name "B3X.Doc"

Policies and Procedures

31) Does the company use and disclose nonpublic personal financial information that it receives from a nonaffiliated financial institution in compliance with the NAIC model regulation? (NAIC Market Conduct Standard 15, Procedure B)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

Please provide copies of any relevant policies and the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures using the file name "C1A.Doc"

32) Does the company restrict the sharing of an account number, access number, or access code for a consumer's policy, brokerage account, or transaction account with any non-affiliated third party for use in telemarketing, direct mail marketing, or other marketing (i.e. electronic mail) to the consumer? (NAIC Model 672 Section 13, NAIC Market Conduct Standard 15, Procedure D)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

Please attach an explanation and/or relevant policies, as well as the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name "C1B.Doc"

33) Does the company share nonpublic personal health information with affiliates or non-affiliated third parties for purposes that require an authorization? (NAIC Model 672 Sections 17 & 18, NAIC Market Conduct Standard 16)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

Please provide an explanation of how the company determined whether an authorization was needed for sharing of health information and any relevant sample documents using the file name "C2A.Doc"

34) Has the licensee secured authorizations from its customers and consumers before disclosing their non-public personal health information to affiliates or non-affiliated third parties, except to the extent such disclosure is permitted under Section 17B of the NAIC Model Regulation? (NAIC Model 672 Section 17(B), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 16, Procedure A)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

Please provide an explanation of the process for securing authorization using the file name "C2B.Doc". If no authorization is required based upon due diligence activities, note accordingly.

35) Does the licensee's authorization form include "all" of the elements required by Article V of the NAIC Model Regulation #672? The elements may include, but not necessarily be limited to, the following: (NAIC Model 672 Section 18, NAIC Market Conduct Standard 16, Procedure B)

- The identity of the consumer or customer who is subject of non-public personal health information.
- A general description of the types of non-public personal health information to be disclosed.
- A general description of the parties to whom the licensee discloses non-public personal health information.
- A general description of the purpose of the disclosure of the non-public personal health information.
- A general explanation of how the non-public personal health information will be used.
- The signature of the consumer or customer who is subject of the non-public personal health information or the individual who is legally empowered to grant disclosure authority and the date signed.
- A notice of the length of time for which the authorization is valid.
- A notice that the consumer or customer may revoke the authorization at any time, and an explanation of the procedure for making a revocation.

Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

N/A \_\_\_\_\_

Please attach a sample copy of the authorization using the file name "C2C.Doc"

36) Did the licensee have policies and procedures in place so that non-public personal health information will not be disclosed unless a customer or consumer has authorized the disclosures? (NAIC Model 672 Section 17, NAIC Market Conduct Standard 16, Procedure A)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

Please attach an explanation and/or any relevant policies, as well as the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name "C2D.Doc"

37) How does the licensee ensure that non-public personal financial information is not disclosed outside the allowable exceptions without offering an opt-out? (NAIC Model 672 Section 11 (A)( 1), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 14, Procedure A)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_

Please attach an explanation and/or any relevant policies, as well as the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name "C3A.Doc"

38) For financial products or services offered via a website, are users required to acknowledge receipt of a privacy notice electronically prior to completing a purchase of a financial product or service? (NAIC Model 672 Section 10(B)(1)(c), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 13, Procedure J&K).

Note: If a licensee offers financial products and services through a website, but chooses to provide privacy notices in a paper format, please mark N/A and state this in the explanation.

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_

Please provide an explanation describing how privacy notices are delivered in relation to products and services offered on web sites and please provide a URL link to pages in which a privacy notice must be acknowledged using the file name "C4A.Doc"

39) Has the licensee included privacy language in joint marketing or service provider agreements that prohibits the non-affiliated third party from disclosing or using the non-public personal information received from the company other than to carry out the purposes for which the information was disclosed to the third party, including use under an exception in sections 15 or 16 of NAIC Model 672? (NAIC Model 672 Section 14 (A)(1)(b), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 15 Procedure (A)(2) & Procedure C)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_

Please attach an explanation and a sample of the privacy language using the file name "C5A.Doc"

40) Has the licensee undertaken reasonable efforts to ensure that information obtained from non-affiliated third parties is not reused or re-disclosed for a purpose other than that which is allowed pursuant to NAIC Model 672? (NAIC Model 672 Section 14, NAIC Market Conduct Standard 15, Procedure B)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_

Please attach an explanation and/or any relevant policies using the file name "C6A.Doc"

41) Has the licensee developed a method for tracking, logging and analyzing privacy complaints? (NAIC Market Conduct Standard 12, Procedure E)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_

Please provide a description of the method, as well as copies of any privacy related complaints and an explanation of the resolution of such complaints, using the file name "C7A.Doc"

Customer Option Preferences

This section is applicable only to licensees who offer their customers or consumers an opportunity to opt-out of sharing with either third parties or affiliates. Licensees who do not offer an opt-out should answer only the first two questions of this section.

42) Does the licensee offer customers the opportunity to opt out of having certain information shared with non-affiliated third parties? (NAIC Model 672 Section 8(A), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 14, Procedure B)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_

D1A

43) Does the licensee offer customers the opportunity to restrict the sharing among its affiliated companies of information that is subject to the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA)? (NAIC Model 672 Section 7(A)(7), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 13, Procedure C (8))

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_  
N/A \_\_\_\_\_

D1B

44) How does the licensee ensure that customers that have chosen to opt-out of such sharing have their information removed from customer lists prior to sharing? (Note: this question may be skipped if the licensee does not offer an opt-out for sharing of information with third parties). (NAIC Market Conduct Standard C 14, Procedure A)

Please provide an explanation and/or any relevant policies, as well as the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name "D2A.Doc"

45) What was the process for delivering the opt-out notice and did it take into consideration whether opt-out notices, if required, were delivered to customers

and, if applicable, consumers along with the initial and annual notice? (NAIC Model 672, Section 8(B), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 14, Procedure A)

Please provide a description of the process and any/or any relevant policies, as well as the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name "D2B.Doc"

46) Are opt-out notices delivered in a form that makes them reasonably easy for customers and, if applicable, consumers to retain them? (NAIC Model 672, Section 8(B)&(C))

Please attach an explanation and/or any relevant documents, including opt-out notices, using the file name "D2C.Doc"

47) What was the process used for ensuring the delivery of opt-out notices (if separate from Privacy Notices)? (NAIC Model 672 Section 8) (Note: If opt-out notices were delivered with privacy notices please note that as your response.)

Please provide a description of the process and any relevant documents using the file name "D2D.Doc"

48) What is the process used by the licensee for customer's and, if applicable, consumers to report their opt-out elections and does the opt-out format contain items that include, but are not necessarily limited to, the following:

- Check-off boxes in a prominent position on the relevant forms with the opt-out notice? (NAIC Model 672 Section 8(A)(2)(b)(i))
- A reply form together with the opt-out notice? (NAIC Model 672 Section 8(A)(2)(b)(ii))
- An electronic means to opt-out, such as a form that can be sent via electronic mail or a process at the licensee's web site, if the consumer agrees to the electronic delivery of information? (NAIC Model 672 Section 8(A)(2)(b)(iii))
- A toll-free number that consumers may call to opt-out? (NAIC Model 672 Section 8(A)(2)(b)(iv))

Please provide an explanation and/or any relevant documents, including copies of all opt-out forms, using the file name "D3A.Doc"

49) What is the process used by the licensee for recording opt-out elections for joint policyholders in company systems and:

- Does the licensee's privacy notice address how opt-out elections for joint policies will be handled? (NAIC Model 672 Section 8(D)(1))
- Does an opt-out election by a joint customer apply to all associated accounts or are joint customers allowed to opt out separately? (NAIC Model 672 Section 8(D)(2))

- Does the licensee permit each joint customer to opt-out on behalf of other joint customers? (NAIC Model 672 Section 8(D)(3))

Please provide a description of the treatment of joint customers and/or any relevant documents using the file name "D3B.Doc"

50) What is the process used by the licensee for recording opt-out elections in the company's systems and does the process reasonably ensure that all opt-out elections will be recorded on a timely basis? (NAIC Model 672 Section 8(E))

Please provide an explanation and/or any relevant policies, as well as the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name "D3C.Doc"

51) Are marketing lists or other customer lists that are shared outside of the allowable exceptions updated on a regular basis to ensure that opt-out elections are implemented within a reasonable period of time? (NAIC Model 672 Section 8(E))

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_

Please attach an explanation and/or any relevant policies, as well as the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name "D3D.Doc"

52) Has the licensee implemented policies, procedures and other controls to ensure that customers who have opted out do not have their information shared other than allowed under the exceptions pursuant to NAIC Model 672? (NAIC Market Conduct Standard 15, Procedure C)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_

Please attach an explanation and/or any relevant policies, as well as the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name "D3E.Doc"

53) Are any policy benefits, pricing discounts, or other options denied to customers who have chosen to opt out? (NAIC Model 672 Section 23(A), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 12, Procedure D)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_

Please provide a description of the controls in place to prevent discrimination against customers that have opted out and/or any relevant documents using the file name "D3F.Doc"

54) Does the licensee’s opt-out notice accurately explain the consumer’s right to opt-out, including the methods by which the consumer may exercise that right at any time, in accordance with applicable law and the company’s policies and procedures and does the notice contain a statement that the licensee discloses or reserves the right to disclose non-public personal financial information about its consumer to a non-affiliated third party? (NAIC Model 672 Section 8(A)(l)(a), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 14, Procedure F)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

Please attach an explanation and/or any relevant policies, as well as the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name “D3G.Doc”

55) Does the notice contain a statement that the consumer has the right to opt-out of that disclosure and a reasonable means by which the consumer may exercise the right to opt-out? (NAIC Model 672 Section 8(A)(1)(b), NAIC Market Conduct Standard 14, Procedure F)

Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

Please attach an explanation and/or relevant documents, including a copy of the company’s opt-out notice, using the file name “D3H.Doc”

### Safeguarding of Customer Records

Please provide the name, title, and telephone number of the company contact person responsible for the answers to this set of questions using the file name “F1.Doc”

56) Please describe the applicable components of the company’s information security policy, which may include but not necessarily be limited to a definition of scope, objectives, risk assessment, and roles and responsibilities relating to administrative, technical and physical safeguards. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501 (a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation 3, 4, 6, 7, Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedure A)

Please provide a narrative description, as well as relevant documentation that supports the existence of pertinent policy components, using the filename “F1A.doc”

57) Please describe how the company’s information security policy addresses the following, which may include, but not necessarily be limited to: (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501 (a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer

Information Model Regulation —4; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedure C)

- Policies to ensure the security and confidentiality of customer records and information.
- Policies to protect against any anticipated threats or hazards to the security or integrity of such records.
- Policies to protect against unauthorized access to or use of such records or information, which could result in substantial harm or inconvenience to any customer.

Please provide a narrative description, as well as copies of relevant policies, using the file name “F1B.Doc”

58) Please describe how the company’s information security program was designed to meet the objectives of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Standards for Safeguarding of Customer Information. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501 (a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17)

Please provide a narrative description, as well as any relevant program documentation, which may include policies and an index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name “F1C .Doc”.

59) Please describe to what level of detail the company’s information security program contains formal documentation of the following, which may include, but not necessarily limited to:

- Information security standards. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 3,4; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedure A)
- Policies and procedures. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501 (a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer information Model Regulation — 3, 4; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedures A and C)
- Established baselines for security over operating systems and databases. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501 (a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 3, 7, 9; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedures A and C)

Please provide a narrative description, as well as relevant documentation that supports the existence of the standards, procedures, and baselines, using the filename “F1D.Doc”

60) Please describe to what level the company’s information security program addresses the IT organizational structure. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 3, 4; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedure A)

Please provide a narrative description, as well as relevant organization charts showing where the responsibility for information security resides in relation to the IT department and other control and administration departments within the company, using the file name “F1E.Doc”

61) Please describe how specific responsibility was assigned- for creating; implementing and maintaining the program. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501 (a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 3, 5, 8, 9; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedure A and C)

Please provide a narrative description, as well as relevant job description materials evidencing who is responsible for program implementation within the company, using the file name “F1F.Doc”

62) Please describe how the program addresses information security awareness and training. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 3, 7; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedure A)

Please provide a narrative description, as well as relevant sample materials, policies, or training guide indices evidencing the existence of such, using the file name “F1G.Doc”

63) Please describe how the company’s information security program was designed to be in accordance with regulatory guidance, which may include but not necessarily be limited to applicable federal, state, local, and other laws. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b), NAIC Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedure A)

Please provide a narrative description using the file name “F1H.Doc”

64) Please describe the company’s risk assessment process and whether that process provides for the identification of systems involved in the creation, processing and storing of customer information, and whether it identifies and assesses the reasonably foreseeable internal and external and natural disaster threats that may threaten the security and integrity of customer information that could result in unauthorized disclosure, misuse, alteration or destruction of customer information and related systems by considering the following items, which may include, but not necessarily limited to: (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501 (a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 6; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedure C)

- Whether the assessment addresses all potential external network access points e.g. Internet and dial-up).
- Whether the assessment addresses the inventory of systems containing customer information, including the platforms on which these systems reside.

- Whether the assessment addresses all extranet access points or all other methods of transmitting data outside the company (e.g. via vendors and business partners).
- Whether the assessment addresses unauthorized activity or viewing of sensitive information on internal systems.
- Whether the assessment addresses physical access points to system hardware?
- Whether the assessment addresses storage points for hard copy documentation?

Please provide a narrative description, including an explanation of risk assessment activities that have been undertaken, as well as a copy of the risk assessment, using the file name “F2A.Doc”

65) Please describe how the company addressed the likelihood and potential damage of the threats noted in the risk assessment and how the company identified the likelihood of occurrence and potential threat based on the sensitivity of customer information. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 6; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedure C)

Please provide a narrative description, as well as a summary of general vulnerability assessment results if different from the risk assessment, using the file name “F2B.Doc”

66) Please describe how the company assesses risk in terms of confidentiality and integrity of customer information systems and non-public customer information whether it is being stored, processed or transmitted. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 6; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedures B and C)

Please provide a narrative description using the file name “F2C.Doc”

67) Please describe how the company has considered the sensitivity and classification of information in assessing the risk of customer data (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 7; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedure B)

Please provide a narrative description, as well as any relevant policies, using the file name “F2D.Doc”

68) Please describe how the company’s assessment of data classification strategies, policies or procedures and related controls for sensitive information has been formally conducted and documented, and how the company assessed the sufficiency of existing policies, procedures, customer information systems and other arrangements intended to control the risks identified by executing

vulnerability tests of the following, which may include, but not necessarily limited to:

(Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 6, 7; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedures B and C)

- Internal/external network access points.
- Logical access to information systems included in internal audit reviews.
- Physical access secured server rooms.

Please provide a narrative description, as well as a summary of results documented or reports issued using the file name “F2E.Doc”

69) Please describe how the company monitors, evaluates and adjusts risk assessments based on changes in technology or the sensitivity of the information. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 7, 9; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedure B)

Please provide a narrative description, including any planned risk assessment activities that will take place over the next 12 to 24 months to re-assess risk areas and levels of risk, using the file name “F2F.Doc”

70) Please describe how the company’s policies and procedures address access controls on systems maintaining customer information and how it addresses the following, which may include, but not necessarily be limited to:

- Formal procedures to ensure only authorized individuals are granted access to data as needed. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501 (a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation —3, 4; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedures A and C)
- Formal procedures to ensure data is periodically re-evaluated or certified to ensure the appropriate levels of access are consistent with policies and procedures. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 7, 9; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedures A and C)

Please provide a narrative description and attach any relevant reports or other materials that show access controls over customer information exist and are periodically reviewed and maintained, as well as any relevant policies and the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name “F3A.Doc”

71) Please describe how user access rights to customer information are determined and granted to ensure the following, which may include but not necessarily be limited to: (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b),

**Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 3;  
Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedure B)**

- Access for new users is properly established on an individual or group basis.
- Access is restricted to only relevant customer information based upon valid authentication criteria (e.g. date of birth, mothers maiden name).
- User access rights are periodically reviewed to ensure each user's access is commensurate with the user's job functions.
- Termination and job change procedures are enforced.
- Inactive user accounts are identified and removed.

Please provide a narrative description and attach any relevant reports or other materials that show access controls over customer information exist and are periodically reviewed and maintained, as well as any relevant policies and the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name "F3B.Doc"

**72) Please describe how the company's security policies and procedures address password controls at the network, operating systems, application and database levels and whether they include each of the following, which may include but not necessarily be limited to: (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 3; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedure B)**

- Use of unique ID's and passwords.
- Use of minimum password length.
- Use of alphanumeric/case sensitive.
- User lockout after a number of unsuccessful login attempts.
- User lockout after a period of inactivity.
- Procedures for setting up new passwords.
- Procedures if users forget passwords.
- Use of a standard frequency for forced change of passwords.
- Use of encryption for stored passwords.

Please provide a narrative description and attach any relevant reports or other materials that show password controls exist and are maintained at each level, as well as any relevant policies and the index evidencing the existence of procedures, using the file name "F3C.Doc"

**73) Please describe how the company's security policies and procedures address dial-up access and whether they include each of the following, which may include but not necessarily be limited to: (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501 (a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 3, 4, 7(A), 9; Market Conduct Examination Standard 12, Procedure A and Standard 17, Procedure A)**

- Granting dial-up access.

- Authorizing dial-up access for particular employees.
- Reviewing and monitoring dial-up access.
- Reviewing violations logs or unsuccessful dial-up access attempts.
- Restricting dial-up access (e.g., time or day, single login).

Please provide a narrative description and attach any relevant reports or other materials that show dial-up access controls exist and are periodically reviewed and maintained, as well as any relevant policies and the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name “F3D.Doc”

74) Please describe how database controls exist to authenticate users, achieve data confidentiality (i.e. through encryption), and maintain data integrity for databases supporting customer related applications. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501 (a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 3, 4; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17)

Please provide a narrative description and attach any relevant reports or other materials that show database controls that maintain confidentiality and integrity exist and are periodically reviewed, as well as any relevant policies, using the file name “F3E.Doc”

75) Please describe how physical security controls were incorporated in the information security policies and procedures and whether they include each of the following, which may include but not necessarily be limited to: (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501 (a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 3, 4; Market Conduct Examination Standard 12, Procedure A and Standard 17, Procedures A and C)

- Policies to restrict access at locations, such as buildings, computer facilities, record storage facilities and mail rooms.
- Policies requiring the use of card keys, security guards, surveillance cameras and access logs.
- Policies requiring the locking of file drawers and security cages for paper forms containing customer information.

Please provide a narrative description and attach any relevant reports or other materials that show physical security controls exist, as well as any relevant policies and the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name “F3F.Doc”

76) Please describe the controls that exist over external storage vendors used for archiving customer information and whether a list of approved vendors used to store records is maintained and contains the following, which may include but not necessarily be limited to: (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 3, 4, 8 (A, B); Market Conduct Examination Standard 12, Procedure A and Standard 17, Procedures A and C)

- Procedures for retrieving internal and external stored information.
- Procedures for storing customer information, data, paper and forms.
- Procedures for granting access to new employees and removing terminated employee access.

Please provide a narrative description and attach any relevant reports or other materials that show the existence of off-site storage vendors or company managed storage locations, as well as any relevant policies governing access review and maintenance and the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name “F3G.Doc”

77) Please describe whether the company’s external transmission policies and procedures that address customer information contain each of the following, which may include but not necessarily be limited to: (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 6; Market Conduct Examination Standards 17)

- Policies requiring the listing of all file transmissions that are scheduled to occur on a regular basis, indicating the third party to whom the transmission is going, the purpose of the transmission and the customer information contained within the transmission.
- Policies governing one-off or ad-hoc file transmissions.
- Policies governing who is authorized to perform or modify file transmissions.
- Policies governing who is authorized to perform one-off or ad-hoc downloads.
- Policies designed to ensure data downloads or transmissions- are appropriate, the business need is understood, the sensitivity of the information is communicated and safeguards are in place.
- Policies governing the type of security used to protect against unauthorized access (e.g. encryption, frame relay, other).

Please provide a narrative description and attach any relevant reports or other materials that show an inventory of external data transmissions, data communications, and network diagrams showing public vs. private networks, encryption methods used, as well as any relevant policies and the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name “F3H.Doc”

78) Please describe the forms and use of data encryption products and algorithms employed by the company (e.g. SSL 128 Secure Data). (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501 (a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 3, 4; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17)

Please provide a narrative description, as well as any relevant reports or other materials that list forms and use of data encryption products/algorithms in use, using the file name “F3I.Doc”

79) Please describe whether “live production” customer information is used in a test environment and whether a business case has been developed for the need to use “live production” customer information. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 3, 4 (A, B, C), 6 (A, B, C); Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedure A)

Please provide your narrative description, as well as evidence of the existence of a business case that provides for the use of “live production” customer information in the test environment, using the file name “F3J.Doc”

80) Please describe whether formal policies and procedures exist to assess the impact of information security changes to systems containing customer information. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 3, 4 (A, B, C), 9; Market Conduct Examination Standard 12, Procedure A)

Please provide a narrative description, as well as any relevant policies pertaining to the assessment of the impact of information security changes to systems containing customer information and the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name “F3K.Doc”

81) Please describe whether rules for customer authentication been defined by the company and implemented to support the corporate privacy statement. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501 (a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 3, 4 (A, B, C), 9; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedure A)

Please provide a narrative description, as well as any relevant policies pertaining to methods used to authenticate a customer prior to disclosing non-public personal information to them and the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name “F3L.Doc”

82) Please describe whether policies and procedures require dual control procedures, segregation of duties, and employee background checks for employees with responsibilities for or access to customer information and detail which sensitive information/transmission/functions have dual controls in place and who has responsibility for these controls that address the following items, which may include, but not necessarily be limited to: (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501 (a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation —3, 4, 6, 7 (A), 9; Market Conduct Examination Standard 12, Procedures A and B and Standard 17)

- Do procedures allow for the same user input and approve data?
- Do procedures allow for users in the Accounting Department access data in the Marketing Department systems?

- Do procedures require that background checks be performed that include previous work and criminal records for users with access to sensitive customer information?

Please provide a narrative description, as well as any relevant policies pertaining to dual controls, segregation of duties, and employee background checks, and the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name “F3M.Doc”

83) Please describe whether policies and procedures address monitoring and detection of actual and attempted attacks on customer information systems, networks, storage devices and whether they include: (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 6, 7; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17)

- Procedures governing the frequency with which monitoring is conducted and for what customer information systems.
- Procedures governing the use of automated Intrusion Detection Systems (ID’s) to monitor Internet devices and critical internal systems.
- Procedures governing exception reports generated from system logs.
- Procedures governing instantaneous alerts if successful or unsuccessful intruder attempts occur.
- Procedures governing whether such attempts have been categorized based upon their criticality (e.g. general network penetration, unauthorized access to database systems maintaining customer information, etc).
- Procedures governing unusual network activity monitoring.
- Procedures governing security related to operating systems events monitoring, including a daily review of systems access and activity logs.
- Procedures identifying the individual responsible for maintaining these procedures and for performing ongoing monitoring.
- Procedures governing the logging and reporting of security incidents to senior management.
- Procedures identifying the individual responsible for preparing the log and reporting incidents.
- Procedures identifying the individual responsible for reviewing incident logs and the frequency of review.

Please provide a narrative description and attach any relevant reports or other materials to illustrate that appropriate monitoring of actual and attempted attacks on customer information systems is identified, investigated and prevented from recurring, as well as any relevant policies and the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name “F3N.Doc”

84) Please describe whether policies are in place to ensure information system attack events are reported and whether the policies include the following: (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501 (a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding

Customer Information Model Regulation — 7; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedures A and B)

- Policy to document an escalation response to unauthorized- access attempts to customer information.
- Policy to address recent unauthorized access attempts.
- Policy to address actions to be taken when a suspected intrusion occurs.
- Policy to documented action steps.
- Policy to ensure regulatory/law enforcement agencies are informed when intrusion attempts occur or when customer information has been compromised.
- Policy to ensure individual responsibility exists to inform regulatory/law enforcement agencies.

Please provide a narrative description, as well as relevant policies, using the file name “F3O.Doc”

85) Please describe whether all systems located in data centers maintain adequate controls to protect against environmental hazards and whether controls address fire, water damage, and temperature and power surges/outages. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 3, 4; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedures A and B)

Please provide a narrative description, as well as any relevant documentation evidencing the existence of environmental controls, using the file name “F3P.Doc”

86) Please describe whether a formal business continuity program exists, includes a backup of systems/files containing customer information, requires testing for the retrieval of information from backup media, and includes each of the following requirements for each application, which may include, but not necessarily be limited to: (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501 (a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 3 6; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17)

- A requirement for a written disaster recovery plan.
- A requirement for an operational recovery facility.
- A requirement for documenting backup methods used (e.g. tape, mirroring, vaulting, etc).
- A requirement for documenting back up frequency and number of set procedures for manually duplicating data during recovery.

Please provide a narrative description, as well as the index evidencing the existence of relevant business continuity/disaster recovery plan components and evidence of the last test results, using the file name “F3Q.Doc”

87) Please describe whether the company has established a security training program for all employees that have access to customer information, which may include but not necessarily be limited to the following: (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501 (a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 3, 7(B); Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedures A and C)

- Procedures addressing the content of training programs.
- Procedures addressing the personnel who can conduct training.
- Procedures addressing the personnel who must attend training.
- Procedures addressing the frequency of training courses.
- Procedures addressing training course content (e.g. how to safeguard customer information, detect fraudulent activity, prevent unauthorized access, etc.).

Please provide a narrative description and attach any relevant reports or other materials that show training programs or communications to employees regarding the security program exist, as well as any relevant policies and the index evidencing the existence of relevant content, using the file name “F3R.Doc”

88) Please describe whether an independent third party has been identified to test or review the key controls, systems and procedures of the information security program, which may include but not necessarily be limited to the following: (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501 (a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation —3, 7 (C); Market Conduct Examination Standard 17)

- Procedures addressing the testing performed by internal audit, a security officer or a third party.
- Procedures addressing the frequency of testing.
- Procedures addressing the nature of testing.
- Procedures addressing the results of testing reported to management.
- Procedures addressing actions taken.

Please provide a narrative description, as well as any relevant reports or other materials evidencing the involvement of an independent third party to test or review key controls, systems and procedures of the information security program, using the file name “F3S.Doc”

89) Please describe whether the company’s board or management designated an individual to act as a liaison with the Corporate Information Security Group to facilitate the administration of the information security program. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 3; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17, Procedure A)

Please provide the name, title and telephone number of the company's contact person responsible for being the liaison between the corporate information security group and the board and/or management of the information security program, using the file name "F4A.Doc"

90) Please describe whether policies and procedures have been implemented to address the process for adjustments to the information security program in light of changes in technology, laws and regulations, sensitivity of customer information, security incidents, new ventures, etc. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 3, 9; Market Conduct Examination Standard 12, Procedure A)

Please provide a narrative description that addresses the process for adjustments to the information security program and attach any relevant policy documentation and the index of relevant procedures evidencing the existence for adjustments to the information security program using the file name "F4B.Doc"

91) Please describe the company's process for determining service providers to be selected, which may include but not necessarily be limited to the following policies: (NAIC Model 672 — 8 (A, B); Market Conduct Examination Standard D and Standard 17, Procedure B)

- Policies for assessing a service provider's privacy policies and practice.
- Policies for assessing of a service provider's security policies and practices.
- Policies for assessing a service provider's general business reputation.

Please provide a narrative description and attach relevant documentation that supports the existence of the process, as well as relevant policies and the index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures, using the file name "F4C.Doc"

92) Please describe whether the company requires service providers to implement appropriate measures designed to meet the objectives of the NAIC Standards for Safeguarding of Customer Information? (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 8; Market Conduct Examination Standard 17)

Please provide a narrative description and attach relevant policies and an index evidencing the existence of relevant procedures in place to ensure that service providers have implemented appropriate security measures, using the file name "F4D.Doc"

93) Please describe whether the company takes appropriate steps, where indicated by their risk assessment, to confirm that service providers have implemented appropriate steps to safeguard non-public personal-information. (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Section 501(a) & (b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation — 8 (B); Market Conduct Examination Standard 17)

Please provide a narrative description, including a discussion of the relevant criteria for selecting service providers for review and a listing of service providers that have been reviewed, using the file name "F4E.Doc"

**ATTACHMENT D**  
**ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The courteous cooperation extended to all persons associated with this examination project by the before mentioned companies' officers and staff is gratefully acknowledged.

In addition to the undersigned, Barry Kreiswirth, attorney to the DC Department of Insurance, Security and Banking assisted in the project through his review of the supporting documents and in the preparation of the September 2005 preliminary report.

Respectfully submitted,

---

WILLIAM FORREST McCUNE, CIPP  
Examiner-in-charge