


OFFICE OF THE
ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

District of Columbia

2015 APR 29 P 10
Court of Appeals



No. 15-AA-108 APPELLATE DIVISION

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
APPLESEED CENTER FOR LAW
AND JUSTICE, INC.,

Petitioner,

14 MIE 012

and

No. 15-AA-109

GROUP HOSPITALIZATION
AND MEDICAL SERVICES, INC.,

Petitioner,

14 MIE 012

v.

14 MIE 014

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE,
SECURITIES, AND BANKING,

Respondent.

BEFORE: Beckwith, Associate Judge, and King and Reid, Senior Judges.

ORDER

On consideration of these consolidated petitions for review of, *inter alia*, respondent's December 30, 2014, Decision and Order finding the surplus of petitioner Group Hospitalization and Medical Services, Inc. ("GHMSI") excessive within the meaning of D.C. Code § 31-3501 *et seq.* (2012 Repl.); this court's March 16, 2015, order directing petitioners to show cause why these petitions should not be dismissed as having been taken from a non-final order; petitioners' responses; and it appearing that respondent has not yet determined whether the community health reinvestment plan submitted by GHMSI is "fair and equitable" within the meaning of D.C. Code § 31-3506 (g), it is

ORDERED that these petitions for review are hereby dismissed as having been taken from a non-final and non-appealable order. *See generally Warner v. District of Columbia Dep't of Employment Servs.*, 587 A.2d 1091, 1093 (D.C.

1991) (explaining the general rule that this court reviews only final agency orders). Respondent's determination that GHMSI's surplus was excessive triggered the additional obligation to review its reinvestment plan and determine whether that plan is "fair and equitable" within the meaning of D.C. Code § 31-3506 (g). Thus, respondent's administrative process is not yet complete, and no specific, enforceable obligations regarding the excess assets have been imposed on GHMSI. *See generally Levy v. District of Columbia Bd. of Zoning Adjustment*, 570 A.2d 739, 749 n.14 (D.C. 1990) ("To be considered final . . . an order in a contested case must 'impose an obligation, deny a right, or fix some legal relationship as a consummation of the administrative process.'" (citations omitted)).

PER CURIAM

Copies to:

Todd S. Kim, Esquire
Solicitor General – D.C.

Adam H. Levi, Esquire
D.C. Department of Insurance &
Securities Regulation
810 First Street NE, Suite 701
Washington, DC 20002

Marialuisa S. Gallozzi, Esquire
Bradley K. Ervin, Esquire
COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP
One City Center
850 Tenth Street NW
Washington, DC 20001

Catherine E. Stetson, Esquire
E. Desmond Hogan, Esquire
Dominic Perella, Esquire
Kathryn L. Marshall, Esquire
HOGAN LOVELLS US, LLP
555 13th Street NW
Washington, DC 20004

ledh